

#### CONCLUSION ON PESTICIDE PEER REVIEW

# Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance clethodim<sup>1</sup>

## **European Food Safety Authority<sup>2</sup>**

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

This conclusion, published on 24 November 2011, replaces the earlier version published on 21 October 2011<sup>3</sup>

#### **SUMMARY**

Clethodim is one of the 79 substances of the third stage part A of the review programme covered by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002<sup>4</sup>, as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007<sup>5</sup>. In accordance with the Regulation, at the request of the European Commission, the EFSA organised a peer review of the initial evaluation, i.e. the Draft Assessment Report (DAR), provided by The Netherlands, being the designated rapporteur Member State (RMS). The peer review process was subsequently terminated following the applicant's decision, in accordance with Article 11e, to withdraw support for the inclusion of clethodim in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC.

Following the Commission Decision of 5 December 2008 (2008/934/EC)<sup>6</sup> concerning the non-inclusion of clethodim in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC and the withdrawal of authorisations for plant protection products containing that substance, the applicant Arysta LifeScience made a resubmission application for the inclusion of clethodim in Annex I in accordance with the provisions laid down in Chapter III of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 33/2008<sup>7</sup>. The resubmission dossier included further data in response to the issues identified in the DAR.

In accordance with Article 18 of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 33/2008, The Netherlands, being the designated RMS, submitted an evaluation of the additional data in the format of an Additional Report. The Additional Report was received by the EFSA on 1 December 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2011-00932, issued on 14 October 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Correspondence: pesticides.peerreview@efsa.europa.eu

The table of representative uses in Appendix A has been updated to appropriately reflect the intended uses as notified in the resubmission dossier, and as a consequence, the groundwater assessment in the environmental fate and behaviour section has been revised (in particular, an issue considered as not finalised and a related data gap applicable for 2 of the representative uses have been removed). The corresponding sections (sections 4, 6.2, 7 and 9.1 of the conclusion as well as the list of end points in Appendix A) have been amended accordingly. Minor implications on the other sections where relevant were also considered in the update. In addition, section 9.3 ('Overview of the concerns identified for each representative use considered') has also been updated (see pages 2-3, 12-14, 16, 18-20, 25, 34, 40, 43, 76-79, 87 and 89 in the conclusion text and Appendix A). To avoid confusion, the original version of the conclusion has been removed from the website, but is available on request as is a version showing all the changes made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> OJ L224, 21.08.2002, p.25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> OJ L 246, 21.9.2007, p. 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> OJ L 333, 11.12.2008, p.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> OJ L 15, 18.01.2008, p.5

Suggested citation: European Food Safety Authority; Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance clethodim. EFSA Journal 2011;9(10):2417. [95 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2417. Available online: <a href="https://www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal">www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal</a>



In accordance with Article 19 of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 33/2008, the EFSA distributed the Additional Report to Member States and the applicant for comments on 3 December 2009. The EFSA collated and forwarded all comments received to the European Commission on 18 January 2010.

In accordance with Article 20, following consideration of the Additional Report, the comments received, and where necessary the DAR, the European Commission requested the EFSA to conduct a focused peer review in the areas of mammalian toxicology, residues and environmental fate and behaviour, and deliver its conclusions on clethodim. The conclusions arising from the peer review were subsequently laid down in the EFSA Conclusion issued on 10 September 2010, EFSA Journal 2010;8(9):1771.

Clethodim was included in Annex I of Directive 91/414 by Commission Directive 2011/21/EU of 2 March 2011<sup>8</sup>, and has subsequently been deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009<sup>9</sup>, in accordance with Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011<sup>10</sup>, as amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 541/2011<sup>11</sup>. A specific provision was included that Member States may only authorise uses as a herbicide on sugar beet.

In March 2011 the European Commission received a request to modify the restriction in Part A of the approval directive of clethodim, based on the evaluation of new toxicological and residues data carried out by the Netherlands (RMS) following the submission of data by Arysta LifeScience. Subsequently, the RMS invited all Member States and EFSA to provide comments on the outcome of the evaluation of the new data. Additionally, the RMS submitted to the European Commission an updated addendum of the DAR for the section on residues. Following consideration of the comments received, the European Commission requested the EFSA to organize a peer review of the new evaluation and to deliver its updated conclusions on clethodim.

The conclusions laid down in this report were reached on the basis of the evaluation of the representative uses of clethodim as a herbicide on sugar beet, as proposed by the applicant. Full details of the representative uses can be found in Appendix A to this report.

A data gap is identified with regard to the formulation in the section physical and chemical properties.

No critical areas of concern are identified in the mammalian toxicology section. A data gap is identified for an assessment of the toxicological relevance of some groundwater metabolites.

Based on the plant metabolism studies conducted on soybean, cotton and carrot, the residue definition for monitoring was proposed as "sum of clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone expressed as clethodim" for the root/tuber and oilseeds/pulses crop groups. Based on the representative use on sugar beet the residue levels in food of animal origin are not expected to exceed 0.01 mg/kg and therefore, no residue definitions and no MRLs were proposed for animal products. The uses of clethodim in sugar beet are not considered to result in a risk to the consumer, as the maximum TMDI was calculated to be less than 2 % of the ADI. An additional intake by consumers through drinking water derived from groundwater was considered with regard to the metabolite clethodim sulfone (1.09  $\mu$ g/L) and was shown to be negligible (0.1 % of the ADI for infants).

The data available on environmental fate and behaviour are sufficient to carry out the required environmental exposure assessments at EU level for the representative uses, with the notable exception for experimental degradation rates in soil and soil adsorption values for the two soil photolysis metabolites 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid and *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid to address the soil and groundwater exposure assessments of the two photodegradates. A data gap is also

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> OJ L 58, 03.03.2011, p.49

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p.187



identified for the characterisation of the chromatographic peak M20 found in a soil metabolism study in order to support the exclusion of the content of this peak from the overall quantification of metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide. In case this fraction is part (one of the isomers) of clethodim oxazole sulfoxide and the quantitative determination makes the metabolite occur at more than 5 % at two consecutive time points, then a groundwater assessment would be needed. The potential for groundwater exposure by the metabolites clethodim sulfone and clethodim oxazole sulfone is predicted to be high over some geoclimatic conditions represented by the FOCUS groundwater scenarios. On the basis of the available mammalian toxicology data, the metabolites clethodim sulfone and clethodim oxazole sulfone were considered to be toxicologically non-relevant.

The risk for earthworm-eating birds and mammals was assessed as high at first tier for soils with pH < 5.5, indicating the need for further refinement, therefore a data gap has been identified and the issue has been indicated as a critical area of concern. The long-term risk for insectivorous birds was assessed as high after a refinement for the southern European use of 384 g a.s./ha, and therefore a data gap was identified. Clethodim technical is toxic to aquatic organisms. Based on the data for the formulation, a high risk was identified for the majority of scenarios at FOCUS step 3 for all the representative uses. The TER values are expected to still be below the Annex VI trigger at FOCUS step 4 in the majority of scenarios, with a no-spray buffer zone up to 30m, for the southern European use of 384 g a.s./ha. Data to further refine the risk are needed. At FOCUS step 4 the risk was low with a no-spray buffer zone up to 18 m for the southern European use of 2x192 g a.s./ha, except for the R3stream scenario. For the northern European use of 300 g a.s./ha, the risk was assessed as low with a no-spray buffer zone up to 30m, except for the D3-ditch and R3-stream scenarios. The risk for the other representative uses (1x240 g a.s./ha, 1x180 g a.s./ha, 1x192 g a.s./ha) was assessed as low with FOCUS step 4 PECsw, provided the application of no-spray buffer zones up to 25 - 30m. The risk was assessed as low for bees, non-target arthropods, soil macro- and micro-organisms, non-target terrestrial plants, and biological methods of sewage treatment.

#### **KEY WORDS**

Clethodim, peer review, risk assessment, pesticide, herbicide



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	<sup>7</sup>	1
Table of o	contents	4
Backgrou	ınd	5
The activ	e substance and the formulated product	9
Conclusio	ons of the evaluation	9
1. Iden	ntity, physical/chemical/technical properties and methods of analysis	9
2. Mar	nmalian toxicity	9
3. Resi	idues	10
4. Env	ironmental fate and behaviour	12
5. Eco	toxicology	14
6. Ove	rview of the risk assessment of compounds listed in residue definitions triggering ass	sessment
of effects	data for the environmental compartments	15
6.1.	Soil	15
6.2.	Ground water	16
6.3.	Surface water and sediment	17
6.4.	Air	17
7. List	of studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed	18
	icular conditions proposed to be taken into account to manage the risk(s) identified	
	cerns	
9.1.	Issues that could not be finalised	
9.2.	Critical areas of concern	
9.3.	Overview of the concerns identified for each representative use considered	20
Reference	<del>2</del> S	
	res	
	tions	



#### **BACKGROUND**

## Legislative framework

Commission Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002<sup>12</sup>, as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007<sup>13</sup> lays down the detailed rules for the implementation of the third stage of the work programme referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC. This regulates for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) the procedure for organising, upon request of the European Commission, a peer review of the initial evaluation, i.e. the Draft Assessment Report (DAR), provided by the designated rapporteur Member State.

Commission Regulation (EC) No 33/2008<sup>14</sup> lays down the detailed rules for the application of Council Directive 91/414/EEC for a regular and accelerated procedure for the assessment of active substances which were part of the programme of work referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC but which were not included in Annex I. This regulates for the EFSA the procedure for organising the consultation of Member States and the applicant(s) for comments on the Additional Report provided by the designated RMS, and upon request of the European Commission the organisation of a peer review and/or delivery of its conclusions on the active substance.

#### Peer review conducted in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002

Clethodim is one of the 79 substances of the third stage part A of the review programme covered by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002, as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007. In accordance with the Regulation, at the request of the European Commission, the EFSA organised a peer review of the DAR (The Netherlands, 2005) provided by the designated rapporteur Member State, The Netherlands, which was received by the EFSA on 5 October 2005.

The peer review was initiated on 19 April 2006 by dispatching the DAR to Member States and the applicant Arysta Paris S.A.S for consultation and comments. In addition, the EFSA conducted a public consultation on the DAR. The comments received were collated by the EFSA and forwarded to the RMS for compilation and evaluation in the format of a Reporting Table. The Reporting Table containing the RMS' evaluation of the comments in column 3 was further considered by the EFSA, resulting in a conclusion in column 4.

All points that were identified as unresolved at the end of the comment evaluation phase, and which required further consideration in the peer review process, were compiled by the EFSA in the format of an Evaluation Table. The issues identified in the Evaluation Table, as well as further information made available by the applicant upon request, were evaluated in a series of scientific meetings with Member State experts in October 2007 (PRAPeR 31 - 35). The outcome of the expert discussions phase was reported in the final column of the Evaluation Table.

The peer review process was subsequently terminated following the applicant's decision, in accordance with Article 11e, to withdraw support for the inclusion of clethodim in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC.

## Peer review conducted in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 33/2008

Following the Commission Decision of 5 December 2008 (2008/934/EC)<sup>15</sup> concerning the non-inclusion of clethodim in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC and the withdrawal of authorisations for plant protection products containing that substance, the applicant Arysta LifeScience made a resubmission application for the inclusion of clethodim in Annex I in accordance with the provisions laid down in Chapter III of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 33/2008. The resubmission

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> OJ L224, 21.08.2002, p.25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> OJ L246, 21.9.2007, p.19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> OJ L 15, 18.01.2008, p.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> OJ L 333, 11.12.2008, p.11



dossier included further data in response to the issues identified in the PRAPeR expert meeting reports, in the sections for physical, chemical properties and methods of analysis, mammalian toxicology, residues, environmental fate and behaviour and ecotoxicology.

In accordance with Article 18, The Netherlands, being the designated RMS, submitted an evaluation of the additional data in the format of an Additional Report (The Netherlands, 2009). The Additional Report was received by the EFSA on 1 December 2009.

In accordance with Article 19, the EFSA distributed the Additional Report to Member States and the applicant for comments on 3 December 2009. In addition, the EFSA conducted a public consultation on the Additional Report. The EFSA collated and forwarded all comments received to the European Commission on 18 January 2010. At the same time, the collated comments were forwarded to the RMS for compilation in the format of a Reporting Table. The applicant was invited to respond to the comments in column 3 of the Reporting Table. The comments and the applicant's response were evaluated by the RMS in column 3.

In accordance with Article 20, following consideration of the Additional Report, the comments received, and where necessary the DAR, the European Commission decided to further consult the EFSA. By written request, received by the EFSA on 22 February 2010, the European Commission requested the EFSA to arrange a consultation with Member State experts as appropriate and deliver its conclusions on clethodim within 6 months of the date of receipt of the request, subject to an extension of a maximum of 90 days where further information were required to be submitted by the applicant in accordance with Article 20(2).

The scope of the peer review and the necessity for additional information, not concerning new studies, to be submitted by the applicant in accordance with Article 20(2), was considered in a telephone conference between the EFSA, the RMS, and the European Commission on 18 February 2010; the applicant was also invited to give its view on the need for additional information. On the basis of the comments received, the applicant's response to the comments, and the RMS' subsequent evaluation thereof, it was concluded that the EFSA should organise a consultation with Member State experts in the areas of mammalian toxicology, residues and environmental fate and behaviour, and that further information should be requested from the applicant in the areas of mammalian toxicology, residues and environmental fate and behaviour.

The outcome of the telephone conference, together with EFSA's further consideration of the comments is reflected in the conclusions set out in column 4 of the Reporting Table. All points that were identified as unresolved at the end of the comment evaluation phase and which required further consideration, including those issues to be considered in consultation with Member State experts, and the additional information to be submitted by the applicant, were compiled by the EFSA in the format of an Evaluation Table.

The conclusions arising from the consideration by the EFSA, and as appropriate by the RMS, of the points identified in the Evaluation Table, together with the outcome of the expert discussions where these took place, were reported in the final column of the Evaluation Table.

A final consultation on the conclusions arising from the peer review of the risk assessment took place with Member States via a written procedure in July – August 2010, leading to the EFSA Conclusion issued on 10 September 2010 (EFSA, 2010).

Clethodim was included in Annex I of Directive 91/414 by Commission Directive 2011/21/EU of 2 March 2011<sup>16</sup>, and has subsequently been deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009<sup>17</sup>, in accordance with Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011<sup>18</sup>, as

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> OJ L 58, 03.03.2011, p.49

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p.1



amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 541/2011<sup>19</sup>. A specific provision was included that Member States may only authorise uses as a herbicide on sugar beet. The restriction to the use on sugar beet was related to the fact that data were missing concerning certain plant metabolites, and only a provisional residue definition could be established for root crops. For that reason, a risk assessment for consumers would not be possible for crops other than the representative use on sugar beet.

In March 2011 the European Commission received a request to modify the restriction in Part A of the approval directive of clethodim, based on the evaluation of new toxicological and residues data carried out by the Netherlands (RMS) following the submission of data by Arysta LifeScience (The Netherlands, 2011a and 2011b). Subsequently, the RMS invited all Member States and EFSA to provide comments on the outcome of the evaluation of the new data. Additionally, the RMS submitted to the European Commission an updated addendum of the DAR for the section on residues (The Netherlands, 2011c).

Following consideration of the comments received, the European Commission decided to further consult the EFSA. By written request, received by the EFSA on 1 August 2011, the European Commission requested the EFSA to organize a peer review of the new evaluation and to deliver its updated conclusions on clethodim.

The new evaluation provided by the Netherlands, together with the comments received from EFSA and the Member States, were discussed at the Pesticide Peer Review Experts' Teleconferences on mammalian toxicology and residues (TC 60 and TC 61). Details of the issues discussed, together with the outcome of these discussions were recorded in the meeting reports.

A final consultation on the conclusion arising from the peer review of the new evaluation took place with Member States via a written procedure in September 2011.

This conclusion report summarises the outcome of the peer review of the risk assessment on the active substance and the representative formulation evaluated on the basis of the representative uses as a herbicide on sugar beet, as proposed by the applicant. A list of the relevant end points for the active substance as well as the formulation is provided in Appendix A. In addition, a key supporting document to this conclusion is the Peer Review Report, which is a compilation of the documentation developed to evaluate and address all issues raised in the peer review, from the initial commenting phase to the conclusion, including the evaluation of the Post-approval toxicological and residue data. The Peer Review Report (EFSA, 2011) comprises the following documents:

- the comments received on the DAR, Additional Report and Post-approval addenda,
- the Reporting Tables (revision 1-1 of 23 January 2007 and revision 1-1 of 22 February 2010),
- the Evaluation Tables (3 September 2010 and 10 October 2011),
- the report(s) of the scientific consultation with Member State experts (including the evaluation of the Post-approval toxicological and residue data),
- Member States comments on the draft EFSA conclusion, following evaluation of the Postapproval toxicological and residue data.

Given the importance of the DAR and the Additional Report including its addendum (compiled version of September 2011 containing all individually submitted addenda together with the new

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p.187



evaluation) (The Netherlands, 2011d) and the Peer Review Report, both documents are considered respectively as background documents A and B to this conclusion.



#### THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AND THE FORMULATED PRODUCT

Clethodim is the ISO common name for (5RS)-2- $\{(1EZ)$ -1-[(2E)-3-chloroallyloxyimino]propyl $\}$ -5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylthio)propyl $\}$ -3-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (IUPAC). It should be noted that amendments have been made to the ISO definition of the active substance to match the chemical definition of the technical material manufactured, which has E geometry on the allyl group but is a mixture of E and E isomers at the oxime ether, and the carbon at position 5 appears to exhibit potential chirality, but is not considered as a chiral centre because of the rapid keto-enol tautomerism.

The representative formulated product for the evaluation was 'Select 240', an emulsifiable concentrate (EC), containing 240 g/L clethodim, registered under different trade names in Europe.

The representative uses evaluated comprise spraying applications to control annual and perennial grass weeds in sugar beet. Full details of the representative uses can be found in the list of end points in Appendix A.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF THE EVALUATION

## 1. Identity, physical/chemical/technical properties and methods of analysis

The minimum purity of clethodim technical material is 930 g/kg. No FAO specification exists.

It should be noted that the specification of the technical material of the resubmission, presented only in the Addendum to Volume 4 of March 2010 (The Netherlands, 2010), was accepted by the rapporteur Member State and EFSA. Toluene was considered as a relevant impurity with a maximum amount of 4 g/kg.

The assessment of the data package revealed no issues that need to be included as critical areas of concern with respect to the identity, physical, chemical and technical properties of clethodim or the respective formulation. However, the formulation was considered not stable in the two-year shelf-life study as the loss of the active substance was greater than 5%, and as a consequence, a data gap has been identified for the identification of the breakdown products.

The main data regarding the identity of clethodim and its physical and chemical properties are given in Appendix A.

Analytical methods are available for the determination of clethodim and the impurities in the technical material and for the determination of the active substance in the representative formulation. Adequate analytical methods are available for the determination of the compounds in the residue definition for monitoring in food of plant origin and in the environmental matrices. Methods for food of animal origin are not relevant as no MRL is proposed. Analytical methods for the determination of residues in body fluids and tissues are not required as clethodim is not classified as toxic or highly toxic.

#### 2. Mammalian toxicity

Clethodim was discussed by the experts on mammalian toxicology in the PRAPeR meetings 34 (October 2007) and 76 (May-June 2010), and during the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Teleconference 60 (September 2011).

A material of lower purity than the technical specification was used in the toxicological studies, therefore it was considered that the levels of impurities in the technical specification were covered by the batches used in the toxicological studies, as they represented a worst case. Toluene was considered as a toxicologically relevant impurity. The NOAELs of the studies were corrected considering the purity level of the batches in order to express the content of clethodim only.

In the acute toxicity studies, clethodim was shown to be harmful if swallowed (**R22**), irritating to skin (**R38**), and a skin sensitizer (**R43**). In short-term toxicity studies, after oral administration, the target organs were the liver and the red blood cells (with changes indicative of anaemia) in all tested species



(rat, dog, mouse). The liver findings also triggered the NOAELs in the long-term studies in rats and mice. Clethodim is unlikely to be genotoxic based on the available studies, and did not show any carcinogenic potential in rats or mice. In reproductive toxicity studies, no adverse effects were observed in the fertility parameters or in the development of the pups, even though some maternal toxicity was observed at the high dose. Considering the developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits, some effects were observed in the rat study (reduced foetal weight, delayed ossification, increased post-implantation loss) in the presence of maternal toxicity.

Several studies were performed with metabolites of clethodim, and the results were discussed by Member State experts. For clethodim imine sulfone and clethodim 5-OH sulfone, it was agreed that the reference values of clethodim could be applied. Clethodim sulfoxide, a major rat metabolite, was considered to be covered by the toxicological studies with clethodim. For the groundwater metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide no toxicological evaluation was available. A data gap has been identified for an assessment of the toxicological relevance, pending on the outcome of further evaluation required in the area of environmental fate and behaviour (see section 4). The groundwater metabolites clethodim oxazole sulfone and clethodim sulfone were considered as having no genotoxic potential and are therefore not toxicologically relevant. The experts also concluded that the reference values of clethodim could be applied for these metabolites if needed. Based on the available data (The Netherlands, 2011d), the plant metabolites M17R, M18R and M15R were considered to be less toxic than the parent compound. However, in case reference values are needed, the reference values of clethodim would apply as a precautionary approach. Finally, a data gap has been identified for an assessment of the toxicological relevance of the two groundwater metabolites 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid and *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid.

The Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) is 0.16 mg/kg bw/day, based on the 2-year rat study and applying a safety factor of 100. The Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AOEL) is 0.2 mg/kg bw/day, based on the 90-day and 1-year dog studies and using a safety factor of 100. Considering the toxicological profile of clethodim, the experts agreed that an Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) is not needed. Considering the highest application rate (0.384 kg active substance in 300 L of water per hectare), only the German model provides an operator exposure level below the AOEL, without personal protective equipment (PPE). With the UK POEM model, the use of gloves during mixing/loading and application is required to have an exposure level below the AOEL. The calculations of worker exposure with EUROPOEM II show an exposure level below the AOEL (83 %), without the use of PPE. It should be noted that the calculations were performed considering 6 hours exposure for scouting, which is highly unlikely. Taking into account 2 hours of exposure (more realistic but still conservative), the estimated worker exposure would be around 30 % of the AOEL. It should be noted that clethodim is a mixture of isomers in a variable ratio, and the ratio the re-entry workers are exposed to is unknown. As an extreme worst case, if only one of the two isomers (R and S) is considered responsible for the toxicity, the exposure estimates for the re-entry workers would be about 60 % of the AOEL, without the use of PPE. Bystander exposure estimates are well below the AOEL (~2%) according to EUROPOEM II.

## 3. Residues

The assessment in the residue section below is based on the guidance documents listed in the document 1607/VI/97 rev. 2 (European Commission, 1999), and the recommendations on livestock burden calculations stated in the 2004 and 2007 JMPR reports (JMPR, 2004, 2007).

The metabolism of clethodim was investigated in two plant groups; oilseeds/pulses (cotton and soybean) and root crops (carrots). A first set of studies including all crops and performed in 1987/1988 under greenhouse conditions was evaluated in the DAR of September 2005 (The Netherlands, 2005). A new metabolism study conducted in 2008 on carrots grown under outdoor conditions was submitted and reported in the Additional Report of November 2009 (The Netherlands, 2009).

The 1987/1988 studies showed clethodim to be extensively metabolised and mostly not detected in all plant parts investigated, or accounting for less than 2 % of the TRR. In soya beans and carrot roots, the



metabolites clethodim sulfone and clethodim sulfoxide were the major components identified 20 to 30 days after application, accounting for *ca.* 30 % and 5 % of the TRR, respectively. These metabolites were however observed at lower levels and proportions in the cotton study (<5 % TRR) but for a longer pre-harvest interval of 70 days. In all plant fractions analysed, a large part of the radioactive residues was not identified and was characterised as unidentified metabolites A, B or C (up to 13 % TRR in carrot leaves), or as polar compounds or polar conjugates.

A similar picture was observed in carrots in the 2009 study, where clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone were detected at comparable levels and proportions as in the earlier study, but with the additional identification of the metabolites M3A, M15R, M17R and M18R, each representing in mature roots 12 to 15 % TRR and ca. 0.02 mg/kg. After discussion and considering the conclusion of the Pesticides Peer Review Experts' Teleconference (TC 60) on the toxicity of these new metabolites (see section 2), the experts in the Teleconference (TC 61) on residues proposed the following residue definitions for the root/tuber crop group:

Monitoring: Sum of clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone expressed as clethodim Risk assessment: Sum of clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone and metabolites M15R,

M17R, M18R expressed as clethodim.

The metabolite M3A was not included in the residue definitions, given the clarification provided on its possible structure (allyl fragment of clethodim) and the low concentrations this metabolite is expected to be present in plants. A conversion factor for risk assessment of 2.5 was derived from the respective proportions, at which the different compounds were detected in mature roots in the metabolism study conducted on carrot with the <sup>14</sup>C label on the cyclohexene ring.

The experts in TC 61 discussed if the proposed residue definitions could be extended to the oilseeds/pulses group, since no new metabolism studies were submitted to confirm the presence of the metabolites M15R, M17R and M18R in this crop group. Considering that the metabolic profiles in the 1987/1988 studies were shown to be similar in carrot, soybean and cotton, it was concluded that the newly identified metabolites were probably present but not identified in the old studies, especially in the extracts characterised as polar fractions. It was therefore concluded that the residue definitions and conversion factor proposed for root and tuber crops are also applicable to the oilseeds/pulses group. However, if further uses are envisaged on soybean, some confirmatory residue trials should be provided where samples are analysed according to the residue definition for risk assessment.

Two different datasets of residue trials on sugar beet were submitted. In the first dataset, referring to trials conducted during the year 2002, the samples were analysed as DME (dimethyl ester sulfone) using a common moiety method, achieving a LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg. It must be noted that this method is wider than the proposed residue definition, as the imine sulfoxide and imine sulfone metabolites are taken into account in addition to the compounds included in the residue definition for enforcement. In the second dataset, the samples collected in trials conducted in the year 2008 were analysed for clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone using an HPLC-MS/MS method, achieving a LOQ of 0.005 mg/kg for each individual compound (global LOQ 0.015 mg/kg). Residues in roots were below the LOQ of the respective analytical methods, except in one location where the residue level was 0.04 mg/kg. Based on these results, an MRL of 0.05 mg/kg was proposed for sugar beet roots. The residue data from the studies conducted with the DME analytical method are supported by storage stability studies showing clethodim residues to be stable up to 11 months in sugar beet roots when stored frozen at -20°C and analysed as DME. However, no information is provided on the stability of each individual compound under frozen conditions in order to support the results from the 2008 trials where samples were analysed using the HPLC-MS/MS method for monitoring (data gap).

Intakes by livestock based on the maximum residue levels of 0.05 mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg in sugar beet roots and tops, respectively, are calculated to be above the trigger intake of 0.1 mg/kg DM. Metabolism and feeding studies in ruminants and poultry were therefore provided but no residue definitions were proposed as it was clear from the submitted studies that, based on the expected



intakes resulting from the use on sugar beet, the residue levels are unlikely to exceed 0.01 mg/kg in food of animal origin. Significant residues are not expected to be present in rotational crops when clethodim is used according to the representative GAP.

No chronic risk resulting from the use of clethodim on sugar beet is expected for the consumers, with the TMDI being less than 2 % of the ADI for the most critical consumer group (UK, Toddler). An acute risk assessment was not performed since the setting of an ARfD was considered not necessary. No information was provided concerning the isomer ratio in treated crop residues, but no additional data are required, having regard to the very low consumer exposure resulting from the representative use.

Finally, it is noted that the levels of clethodim sulfone, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim oxazole sulfone in groundwater are likely to exceed 0.1  $\mu$ g/L in some FOCUS groundwater scenarios, with clethodim sulfone exceeding the trigger value of 0.75  $\mu$ g/L (1.09  $\mu$ g/L). Therefore, an additional intake by consumers through drinking water derived from groundwater was considered and was shown to be negligible (0.1 % of the ADI for infants).

#### 4. Environmental fate and behaviour

The regulatory dossier provides no information on the behaviour of each individual clethodim R and S isomer in the environment. It is not known if either isomer is degraded more quickly than the other or if any other conversion may occur in the environmental matrices studied. References made to clethodim in section 4 therefore relate to the sum of R and S isomers of unknown ratio. The ratio of the geometric isomers in any environmental system can vary depending on various factors including delivery vehicle, temperature, pH, etc. It is not possible to evaluate the effects of either the (E,E) or (Z,E) isomers, since isolation of either form would result in a re-established equilibrium when introduced to any test system. References made to clethodim in section 4 therefore relate to the sum of the determined (E,E) and (Z,E) geometric isomers, expressed as total clethodim.

In laboratory soil incubations under aerobic conditions in the dark, clethodim exhibits very low to low persistence. Major (> 10 % applied radioactivity (AR)) metabolites were clethodim sulfoxide (max. 73 % AR after 3 days), clethodim sulfone (max. 33.3 % AR after 14 days), and clethodim oxazole sulfone (max. 10 % AR after 380 days). In the resubmission dossier the applicant provided a position paper to address the data gap set in PRAPeR 32 for further information to demonstrate that the unknown fraction identified with the chromatographic peak M20 in the soil metabolism study by Mamouni (2006a), reported in the DAR, is not a diasteroisomer of clethodim oxazole sulfoxide. The experts at PRAPeR 78 confirmed that it cannot be excluded that the formation of the metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide would exceed the formation of 5 % at two consecutive sampling points, triggering a groundwater exposure assessment (refer to Report of PRAPeR Expert Meeting 78; EFSA, 2010). Therefore a data gap was identified for the characterisation of the chromatographic peak M20 in order to support the exclusion of the content of this peak from the overall quantification of metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide. In case this fraction is part (one of the isomers) of clethodim oxazole sulfoxide, and the quantitative determination makes the metabolite occur at more than 5 % at two consecutive time points, then a groundwater assessment would be needed. Clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone exhibit low to moderate persistence in soil. Mineralisation of clethodim was significant: 47 % AR at 124d (propyl-radiolabelled), and 34.2 % - 63.6 % AR at 119d and 57d (allyland ring-radiolabelled). The formation of non-extractable resides accounted for 17 % AR at 124d (propyl-radiolabelled), and 13 % - 29 % AR at 119d and 125d (allyl- and ring-radiolabelled).

In a soil photolysis study clethodim was rapidly photo-degraded in the irradiated soil samples with a calculated degradation rate < 1 day. The major photodegradation product formed from both labels of the test item was clethodim sulfoxide, peaking at levels of between 54 % and 60 % AR. Other significant degradates were *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid (max. 18 % AR at 3d) and 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid (max. 18.7 % AR at 15d). Although the guidance photolysis study in soil does not represent realistic conditions and should not be considered quantitatively, the qualitative assessment of the levels observed of these metabolites, together with the fact that soil



photolysis can be considered as important as microbial degradation (degradation rates are comparable) and considering the representative uses, does not allow to exclude that the two photodegradates will reach 10 % of applied clethodim in molar bases under realistic conditions of use. Therefore, the metabolites trans-3-chloroacrylic acid and 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid need to be addressed with respect to soil and groundwater compartments, and a data gap is identified. Clethodim and its soil metabolites clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone are very highly mobile in soil. Metabolites clethodim oxazole sulfone and clethodim oxazole sulfoxide exhibit very high to high mobility in soil. Although a narrow range of soil pH was tested for clethodim (4 soils with pH values of 5.4, 5.6, 7.4 and 7.5), a higher adsorption was observed for the acidic soils. The soil pH dependant adsorption of clethodim used in FOCUS groundwater modelling was discussed in PRAPeR 32. It was agreed that the pH dependent relationship with adsorption values as determined in the Addendum dated September 2007 (The Netherlands, 2010) was considered inadequate. The experts at PRAPeR 78 confirmed that the use of the worst-case  $K_{Foc}$  value of 4 mL/g for clethodim<sup>20</sup> for all the FOCUS groundwater scenarios is a conservative approach and was considered appropriate for groundwater modelling. There was no evidence of pH dependence of adsorption for the soil metabolites clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, clethodim oxazole sulfone and clethodim oxazole sulfoxide.

In aerobic natural sediment water systems (laboratory incubations) clethodim dissipated relatively rapidly from the water phase and the total system. Besides clethodim, four major degradation products were identified: two in the water phase (clethodim sulfoxide, max. 57.8 % AR at 14d; clethodim sulfone, max. 10.4 % AR at 68d), and two in the sediment (clethodim imine, max. 35.8 % AR at 33d; and clethodim imine sulfoxide, max. 15.5 % AR at 61d). Mineralisation was significant throughout the study and accounted for maximum 43.7 % AR after 174 days. Non-extractable radioactivity in sediment increased throughout the study, reaching maximum levels of 33 % AR after 174 days. Predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) in surface water were calculated for clethodim and metabolites clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, clethodim oxazole sulfone, clethodim imine and clethodim imine sulfoxide, according to the representative GAP, and up to step 3 of the FOCUS SW procedure (FOCUS, 2001). Step 4 calculations for clethodim were also conducted, however the application of buffer zones > 30 m was considered not appropriate, as it has not been demonstrated that this mitigation measure will not exceed the maximum levels of exposure mitigation in the risk assessment for Annex I listing recommended by the FOCUS Landscape and Mitigation Working Group (FOCUS, 2007).

The necessary groundwater exposure assessment was carried out using FOCUS (2000) scenarios and models (PEARL 3.3.3 and PELMO 3.3.2) for clethodim and its soil metabolites clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone and clethodim oxazole sulfone. The potential for groundwater exposure by clethodim above the parametric drinking water limit of 0.1 µg/L from the representative uses assessed was concluded to be low in geoclimatic situations that are represented by the relevant FOCUS groundwater scenarios. PECgw for clethodim sulfoxide were below the 0.1 µg/L regulatory threshold in all scenarios, except the Sevilla scenario with the PEARL model, where concentrations up to 0.5663 µg/L were predicted. PECgw for clethodim sulfone exceeded 0.1 µg/L in all but two of the nine scenarios modelled with PEARL, with the Sevilla scenario > 0.75 µg/L. In 8 scenarios PECgw for clethodim oxazole sulfone ranged from 0.249 to 0.526 µg/L. On the basis of the available mammalian toxicology data, metabolites clethodim sulfone and clethodim oxazole sulfone were considered to be toxicologically non-relevant (see section 2). A data gap was identified in PRAPeR 78 for a assessment for the two soil photolysis groundwater exposure metabolites chloroallyloxyiminolbutanoic acid and trans-3-chloroacrylic acid, and pending on the characterisation of the chromatographic peak M20 in a soil metabolism study, a groundwater assessment might be needed also for clethodim oxazole sulfoxide.

The PEC in soil, surface water, sediment and groundwater for the representative uses assessed can be found in Appendix A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> geometric mean of K<sub>Foc</sub> values at alkaline pH



#### 5. Ecotoxicology

A low acute and short-term risk was assessed for birds, and a low acute and long-term risk was assessed for mammals at first tier via dietary exposure. A low risk was indicated from consumption of contaminated drinking water. A high long-term risk was identified at first tier for insectivorous and herbivorous birds. The subsequent refinement, taking into account residue decline, gave TERs above the Annex VI trigger for herbivorous birds. However, the refined TER for insectivorous birds, including PD of 76.4 % large insects and 23.6 % small insects, was slightly below the Annex VI trigger for the use in southern Europe of 384 g a.s./ha (TER=4.7), indicating the need for further refinement and therefore a data gap is identified. The risk for earthworm-eating birds and mammals was assessed as high at first tier for soils with pH < 5.5 for all the representative uses, and therefore a data gap has been identified to provide data for further refinement. For soils with pH > 5.5, a low risk was indicated. The risk from the metabolites clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, and clethodim oxazole sulfone was assessed as low for birds and mammals based on the toxicity of the parent compound.

Clethodim technical was toxic to aquatic organisms, based on the *Lemna* end point. The representative formulation, which includes an oily adjuvant, showed a higher toxicity than the active substance. Toxicity data on algae, Lemna and fish were also available for the metabolite clethodim sulfoxide, and data on Chironomus were also available for the metabolite clethodim imine. The lowest end point driving the risk assessment was observed in a reproduction study with Daphnia magna (NOEC = 0.84 µg a.s./L) with the formulation. Based on this value, a high risk was identified for the majority of scenarios at FOCUS step 3 for all the representative uses. The subsequent assessment at FOCUS step 4 including mitigation measures comparable to no-spray buffer zones greater than 30m could not be taken into account (see section 4). However, with a no-spray buffer zone up to 30m, the TER values would be expected to still be below the Annex VI triggers in all scenarios (except D4-pond and R1pond) for the southern European use of 384 g a.s./ha, indicating a high risk. Therefore further data are required to refine the risk, and a data gap has been identified. The risk was assessed as low with a nospray buffer zone up to 18m for the southern European use of 2x192 g a.s./ha for all scenarios, except for the R3-stream; for this scenario the available TER value, calculated with a no-spray buffer zone up to 16m, is below the Annex VI trigger and no PECsw at 18m was available. For the northern European use of 300 g a.s./ha, the risk was assessed as low with a no-spray buffer zone up to 30m, except for the D3-ditch and the R3-stream scenarios; for these scenarios the PECsw values at 30m were not available. For the other GAP table uses (1x240 g a.s./ha, 1x180 g a.s./ha, 1x192 g a.s./ha), based on EFSA's assessment, a low risk was identified with FOCUS step 4 PECsw, provided the application of no-spray buffer zones up to 25 - 30m.

The risk was assessed as low for bees, non-target arthropods, soil macro- and micro-organisms, non-target terrestrial plants, and biological methods of sewage treatment.



## 6. Overview of the risk assessment of compounds listed in residue definitions triggering assessment of effects data for the environmental compartments

## **6.1.** Soil

Compound (name and/or code)	Persistence	Ecotoxicology
clethodim	Very low to low persistence First-order laboratory DT <sub>50</sub> 0.17-3.04 days (20°C, pF2 soil moisture)	The risk for soil-dwelling organisms was assessed as low.
clethodim sulfoxide	Low to moderate persistence First-order laboratory DT <sub>50</sub> 2.64-26.26 days (20°C, pF2 soil moisture)	The risk for soil-dwelling organisms is expected to be low based on the available toxicity data.
clethodim sulfone	Low to moderate persistence First-order laboratory DT <sub>50</sub> 2.89-55.92 days (20°C, pF2 soil moisture)	The risk for soil-dwelling organisms is expected to be low based on the available toxicity data.
clethodim oxazole sulfone	Moderate to medium persistence First-order laboratory DT <sub>50</sub> 20-68 days (20°C, pF2 soil moisture)	The risk for soil-dwelling organisms is expected to be low based on the available toxicity data.
2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid (CBA) (soil photolysis metabolite)	no data, data required	The risk for soil-dwelling organisms is expected to be low based on the available toxicity data.
trans- 3- chloroacrylic acid (CAA) (soil photolysis metabolite)	no data, data required	The risk for soil-dwelling organisms is expected to be low based on the available toxicity data.



## **6.2.** Ground water

Compound (name and/or code)	Mobility in soil	Mobility in soil  >0.1 µg/L 1m depth for the representative uses (at least one FOCUS scenario or relevant lysimeter)		Toxicological relevance	Ecotoxicological activity
clethodim	Very high mobility K <sub>Foc</sub> 3-43 mL/g	FOCUS PELMO 3.3.2 and FOCUS PEARL 3.3.3: no	yes	yes	yes
clethodim sulfoxide	Very high mobility  K <sub>Foc</sub> 2-24 mL/g	FOCUS PELMO 3.3.2: no FOCUS PEARL 3.3.3: 1 scenario (Sevilla: 0.567 µg/L) out of 9	no	Major rat metabolite Not relevant	no
clethodim sulfone	Very high mobility  K <sub>Foc</sub> 5-16 mL/g	FOCUS PELMO 3.3.2: 1 scenario (Okehampton: 0.113 μg/L) FOCUS PEARL 3.3.3: 7 scenarios out of 9 (Sevilla 1.09 μg/L)	Unlikely to be genotoxic		no
clethodim oxazole sulfone	Very high to high mobility KFoc 12-96 mL/g	FOCUS PELMO 3.3.2: 7 scenarios out of 9 (0.102-0.356 μg/L) FOCUS PEARL 3.3.3: 8 scenarios out of 9 (0.249-0.526 μg/L)	no	Unlikely to be genotoxic Not relevant	no
clethodim oxazole sulfoxide	Very high to high mobility Kdoc 26-130 mL/g	No data, data required pending on the characterisation of the chromatographic peaks of M20 identified in the soil metabolism study by Mamouni (2006a).	no	No data	no
2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino] butanoic acid (CBA) (soil photolysis metabolite)	no data, data required	no data, data required	no	No data, data required	no
trans- 3- chloroacrylic acid (CAA) (soil photolysis metabolite)	no data, data required	no data, data required	no	No data, data required	no



## **6.3.** Surface water and sediment

Compound (name and/or code)	Ecotoxicology
clethodim	Clethodim was toxic to aquatic organisms, based on <i>Lemna</i> end point. The lowest end point was observed in a reproduction study with <i>Daphnia magna</i> (NOEC = $0.84 \mu g$ a.s./L). A high risk was identified for the majority of scenarios at FOCUS step 3 for all the representative uses. It is expected that mitigation measures would not be sufficient to achieve a low risk in the majority of scenarios for the southern Europe use of $384 g$ a.s./ha.
clethodim sulfoxide	The risk for aquatic organisms is expected to be low.
clethodim sulfone	The risk for aquatic organisms is expected to be low.
clethodim oxazole sulfone	The risk for aquatic organisms is expected to be low.
clethodim imine (sediment)	The risk for aquatic organisms is expected to be low.
clethodim imine sulfoxide (sediment)	The risk for aquatic organisms is expected to be low.

## 6.4. Air

Compound (name and/or code)	Toxicology
clethodim	Rat $LC_{50} > 3.25$ mg a.s./L air/4h (whole body)



### 7. List of studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed

This is a complete list of the data gaps identified during the peer review process, including those areas where a study may have been made available during the peer review process but not considered for procedural reasons (without prejudice to the provisions of Article 7 of Directive 91/414/EEC concerning information on potentially harmful effects).

- Identification of the breakdown products in the shelf-life study (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 1).
- Storage stability study is required for each individual compound included in the residue definition for monitoring (clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone), where samples are analysed individually using the HPLC-MS/MS method validated for monitoring (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by EFSA during the peer review of the new evaluation after approval of clethodim; submission date proposed by the applicant: unknown; see section 3).
- Assessment of the toxicological relevance of the groundwater metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide, pending on the results of the data gap in section 4 for the characterisation of the chromatographic peak M20 found in the soil metabolism study (relevant for all representative uses; no submission data proposed by the applicant; see sections 2 and 4).
- Assessment of the toxicological relevance of the groundwater metabolites *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid and 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; no submission date proposed by the applicant; see section 2).
- Characterisation of the chromatographic peak M20 found in the soil metabolism study by Mamouni (2006a) in order to support the exclusion of the content of this peak from the overall quantification of metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide. In case this fraction is part (one of the isomers) of clethodim oxazole sulfoxide and the quantitative determination makes the metabolite occur at more than 5 % at two consecutive time points, then a groundwater assessment would be needed (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; no submission date proposed by the applicant; see section 4).
- Experimental degradation rates in soil and soil adsorption values for the two soil photolysis metabolites 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid and *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid to address the soil and groundwater exposure assessments of the two photodegradates (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; no submission date proposed by the applicant; see section 4).
- The long-term risk for insectivorous birds needs to be further addressed (relevant for the highest application rate of 384 g a.s./ha in southern Europe; no submission date proposed by the applicant; see section 5).
- The risk for earthworm-eating birds and mammals for soils with pH < 5.5 needs to be further addressed (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; no submission date proposed by the applicant; see section 5).
- The long-term risk for aquatic organisms needs to be further addressed (relevant for the highest application rate of 384 g a.s./ha in southern Europe; no submission date proposed by the applicant; see section 5).

## 8. Particular conditions proposed to be taken into account to manage the risk(s) identified

• Mitigation measures comparable to a no-spray buffer zone up to 18m and 30m were necessary to achieve a low risk for aquatic organisms for the southern European use of 2x192 g a.s./ha (for 3/4 FOCUS scenarios), and for the northern European use of 300 g a.s./ha (for 2/4 FOCUS scenarios),



respectively. Also for the other GAP table uses (1x240 g a.s./ha, 1x180 g a.s./ha, 1x192 g a.s./ha), mitigation measures comparable to no-spray buffer zones up to 25 - 30m are necessary to achieve a low risk.

#### 9. Concerns

#### 9.1. Issues that could not be finalised

An issue is listed as an issue that could not be finalised where there is not enough information available to perform an assessment, even at the lowest tier level, for the representative uses in line with the Uniform Principles of Annex VI to Directive 91/414/EEC and where the issue is of such importance that it could, when finalised, become a concern (which would also be listed as a critical area of concern if it is of relevance to all representative uses).

- 1. A proper quantification of the soil metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide in one soil metabolism study is outstanding. In case the quantitative determination makes the metabolite occur at more than 5 % at two consecutive time points, then a groundwater assessment for this metabolite would be needed.
- 2. Soil and groundwater exposure assessments for the two soil photolysis metabolites 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid and *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid.

#### 9.2. Critical areas of concern

An issue is listed as a critical area of concern where there is enough information available to perform an assessment for the representative uses in line with the Uniform Principles of Annex VI to Directive 91/414/EEC, and where this assessment does not permit to conclude that for at least one of the representative uses it may be expected that a plant protection product containing the active substance will not have any harmful effect on human or animal health or on groundwater or any unacceptable influence on the environment.

An issue is also listed as a critical area of concern where the assessment at a higher tier level could not be finalised due to a lack of information, and where the assessment performed at the lower tier level does not permit to conclude that for at least one of the representative uses it may be expected that a plant protection product containing the active substance will not have any harmful effect on human or animal health or on groundwater or any unacceptable influence on the environment.

3. A high risk is identified for earthworm-eating birds and mammals for soils with pH < 5.5. A data gap was identified for further refinement.



## 9.3. Overview of the concerns identified for each representative use considered

(If a particular condition proposed to be taken into account to manage an identified risk, as listed in section 8, has been evaluated as being effective, then 'risk identified' is not indicated in this table.)

			Uarkia	ida by sprayina	applications to	control	
			annual a	and perennial gr	applications to rass weeds in su	gar beet	
Representative use		Northern Europe max. application rate: 300 g a.s./ha	Northern Europe max. application rate: 240 g a.s./ha	Northern Europe max. application rate:	Southern Europe max. application rate: 384 g a.s./ha	Southern Europe max. application rate: 192 g a.s./ha	Southern Europe max. application rate: 2x192 g a.s./ha
Operator risk	Risk identified Assessment not						
Worker	finalised Risk identified						
risk	Assessment not finalised						
Bystander risk	Risk identified Assessment not finalised						
Consumer risk	Risk identified Assessment not finalised						
Risk to wild non	Risk identified	X <sup>3</sup>	$X^3$	$X^3$	X, X <sup>3</sup>	$X^3$	X <sup>3</sup>
target terrestrial vertebrates	Assessment not finalised						
Risk to wild non	Risk identified						
target terrestrial organisms other than vertebrates	Assessment not finalised						
Risk to aquatic organisms	Risk identified Assessment not	2/4 FOCUS scenarios			X		1/4 FOCUS scenarios
Groundwa ter exposure	finalised  Legal parametric value breached						
active substance	Assessment not finalised						
Groundwa	Legal parametric value breached Parametric						
ter exposure metabolites	value of 10µg/L <sup>(a)</sup> breached						
	Assessment not finalised	X <sup>1,2</sup>	X <sup>1,2</sup>	X <sup>1,2</sup>	X <sup>1,2</sup>	X <sup>1,2</sup>	X <sup>1,2</sup>
Comments/R	temarks		1 1 :		41: 4: 0.3	371 41 .	• .

The superscript numbers in this table relate to the numbered points indicated within section 9. Where there is no superscript number, see section 5 for more explanation.

<sup>(</sup>a): Value for non relevant metabolites prescribed in SANCO/221/2000-rev 10-final, European Commission, 2003



#### REFERENCES

- ACD/ChemSketch, Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc., ACD/Labs Release: 12.00 Product version: 12.00 (Build 29305, 25 Nov 2008).
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2010. European Food Safety Authority; Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance clethodim. EFSA Journal 2011;9(10):2417. [93 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1771.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2011. Peer Review Report to the conclusion regarding the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance clethodim.
- European Commission, 1999. Guidelines for the generation of data concerning residues as provided in Annex II part A, section 6 and Annex III, part A, section 8 of Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, 1607/VI/97 rev.2, 10/6/1999.
- European Commission, 2002. Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC. SANCO/4145/2000.
- FOCUS (2000). "FOCUS Groundwater Scenarios in the EU review of active substances". Report of the FOCUS Groundwater Scenarios Workgroup, EC Document Reference SANCO/321/2000-rev.2. 202 pp, as updated by the Generic Guidance for FOCUS groundwater scenarios, version 1.1 dated April 2002.
- FOCUS (2001). "FOCUS Surface Water Scenarios in the EU Evaluation Process under 91/414/EEC". Report of the FOCUS Working Group on Surface Water Scenarios, EC Document Reference SANCO/4802/2001-rev.2. 245 pp.
- FOCUS (2007). "Landscape And Mitigation Factors In Aquatic Risk Assessment. Volume 1. Extended Summary and Recommendations". Report of the FOCUS Working Group on Landscape and Mitigation Factors in Ecological Risk Assessment, EC Document Reference SANCO/10422/2005 v2.0. 169 pp.
- JMPR, 2004. Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues, Rome, Italy, 20–29 September 2004, Report 2004, 383 pp.
- JMPR, 2007. Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues, Geneva, Switzerland, 18–27 September 2007, Report 2007, 164 pp.
- The Netherlands, 2005. Draft Assessment Report (DAR) on the active substance clethodim prepared by the rapporteur Member State The Netherlands in the framework of Directive 91/414/EEC, September 2005.
- The Netherlands, 2009. Additional Report to the Draft Assessment Report on the active substance clethodim prepared by the rapporteur Member State The Netherlands in the framework of Commission Regulation (EC) No 33/2008, November 2009.
- The Netherlands, 2011a. Post Inclusion Addendum on clethodim, Annex B, B.6., Toxicology and metabolism, March 2011.
- The Netherlands, 2011b. Post Inclusion Addendum on clethodim, Annex B, B.7., Residues, March 2011.
- The Netherlands, 2011c. Post Inclusion Addendum 2 on clethodim, Annex B, B.7., Residues, July 2011.
- The Netherlands, 2011d. Final Addendum to the Draft Assessment Report and Additional Report on clethodim, compiled by EFSA, September 2011.
- WHO, 2009. WHO Guidelines for drinking-water quality, WHO reference number: WHO/HSE/WSH/09.05, 39 pp.



#### **APPENDICES**

## APPENDIX $\mathbf{A}$ – List of end points for the active substance and the representative formulation

## Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information

#### Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information

Active substance (ISO Common Name)	Clethodim
Function (e.g. fungicide)	herbicide

Rapporteur Member State The Netherlands

**Identity** (Annex IIA, point 1)

Chemical name (IUPAC)

Chemical name (CA)

CIPAC No

CAS No

EEC No (EINECS or ELINCS)

FAO Specification (including year of publication)

Minimum purity of the active substance as

manufactured (g/kg)

Identity of relevant impurities (of toxicological, environmental and/or other significance) in the active substance as manufactured (g/kg)

Molecular formula

Molecular mass

Structural formula

(5RS)-2-{(1EZ)-1-[(2E)-3-chloroallyloxyimino]propyl}-
5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylthio)propyl]-3-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-
1-one

 $2\hbox{-}[1\hbox{-}[[(2E)\hbox{-}3\hbox{-}chloro\hbox{-}2\hbox{-}propen\hbox{-}1\hbox{-}yl]oxy]imino]propyl]\hbox{-}5\hbox{-}[2\hbox{-}(ethylthio)propyl]\hbox{-}3\hbox{-}hydroxy\hbox{-}2\hbox{-}cyclohexen\hbox{-}1\hbox{-}one$ 

508

99129-21-2

Not available

Not available

930 g/kg

toluene max. 4 g/kg

C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>26</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub>S

359.92 g/mol



## **Physical-chemical properties** (Annex IIA, point 2)

Thysicar-enemical properties (Annex IIA, point 2)							
Melting point	-80°C (98.3%)						
Boiling point	not available (thermal decomposition below the boiling temperature)						
Temperature of decomposition	Decomposition starts at 406±0.5 K (133±0.5°C) at 100.52						
Appearance	green yellow liquid (98.3%)						
Vapour pressure (state temperature, state purity) ‡	amber viscous liquid (technical material)  2.08 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> at 20°C						
1 1 7 1 3/1	4.92 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> at 25°C						
Henry's law constant	(98.5%) 1.4 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> Pa.m <sup>3</sup> .mol <sup>-1</sup> at 20°C						
Tremy of the Constant	(calculated from vapour pressure and aqueous solubility)						
Solubility in water (state temperature, state purity	Purity 98.3%, at 20°C:						
and pH)	At pH 4: 0.0530 g/L						
[/	At pH 7: 5.45 g/L						
	At pH 9: 58.9 g/L At pH 10: 30.0 g/L						
Solubility in organic solvents (state temperature,	purity 93%, at 25°C:						
	xylene   >100 g/L						
state purity)	1,2-dichloroethane >100 g/L						
	methanol >100 g/L						
	technical material, at 25°C:						
	acetone >900 g/L						
	hexane >900 g/L						
	ethyl acetate >900 g/L						
Surface tension (state concentration and temperature	dimethylformamide >900 g/L purity 100%:						
Surface tension (state concentration and temperature,	52.9 mN/m at 21°C (70% saturated aqueous solution),						
state purity)	59.2 mN/m at 18°C (35% saturated aqueous solution),						
	64.3 mN/m at 18°C (14% saturated aqueous solution)						
Partition co-efficient (state temperature, pH and	$Log P_{ow} = 4.14 at pH 7 (99,0\%)$						
purity)	$Log P_{ow} = 4.22 \text{ at pH 9 } (99,0\%)$						
	$=>$ Log $P_{ow}=4.2$ for the non-dissociated form of						
	clethodim Metabolites (Estimated using EPA EPI Suite program):						
	Clethodim sulfoxide: Log $P_{ow} = 2.07$						
	Clethodim imine: $Log P_{ow} = 2.37$						
	Clethodim imine sulfoxide: Log $P_{ow} = -0.76$						
Dissociation constant (state purity)	purity 98.5%, at 20°C:						
	pKa = 4.47						
UV/VIS absorption (max.) incl. $\epsilon$ (state purity, pH)	UV-spectrum, in methanol Neutral conditions:						
	Neutral conditions: $1e \lambda \max : 256 \text{ nm}; \varepsilon = 13183 (1/(\text{mol.cm}))$						
	$2e \lambda \max : 203 \text{ nm }; \varepsilon = 13490 \text{ (1/(mol.cm))}$						
	at 290 nm: $\varepsilon = 4255  (1/(\text{mol.cm}))$						
	acidic solution:						
	1e λ max : 258 nm;ε = 12882 (1/(mol.cm))						
	2e $\lambda$ max : 207 nm; $\epsilon$ = 12589 (1/(mol.cm)) basic solution:						
	1e λ max : ca 210 nm; ε unknown						
	2e λ max : 282 nm; $\varepsilon$ = 21878 (1/(mol.cm))						
Flammability (state purity)	Self-ignition temperature: 280°C (94.8%)						
	No flash point up to 78°C (degradation, 93.8%).						
Explosive properties (state purity)	not explosive (92.4%)						
Oxidising properties (state purity)	not oxidizing (statement)						



Classification and	proposed labelling	(Annex IIA.	point 10)

with	regard	to	physic	cal and	chemical	data
* * 1 * * 1	I C Sui u	·	PII , DI	our urra	ciiciincai	uuuu

No classification is proposed



## List of representative uses evaluated (clethodim)

Crop and/	Member		F G	Pests or Group of	Formu	ulation		Applica	ntion		Арр	lication ra		PHI	Barrada
or situation (a)	State or Country	Product name	or I (b)	pests controlled (c)	Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s. (i)	method kind (f-h)	Growth stage & season (j)	number min/ma x (k)	interval between applications (min)	kg a.s. /hL min- max	Water L/ha min- max	kg a.s. /ha min-max	(days ) (l)	Remarks: (m)
Sugar beet	Northern Europe	Select 240	F	Annual and perennial grass weeds	EC	240 g/L	Downwards spraying with tractor mounted equipment	ca. 40 (31-39)	1	n.a.	0.15	200	0.3	56	Select 240 is to be used in combination with an oily adjuvant (0.5% v/v)
Sugar beet	Northern Europe	Select 240	F	Annual and perennial grass weeds	EC	240 g/L	Downwards spraying with tractor mounted equipment	12-39	1	n.a.	0.12	200	0.240	56	Select 240 is to be used in combination with an oily adjuvant (0.5% v/v)
Sugar beet	Northern Europe	Select 240	F	Annual and perennial grass weeds	EC	240 g/L	Downwards spraying with tractor mounted equipment	12-39	1	n.a.	0.09	200	0.180	56	Select 240 is to be used in combination with an oily adjuvant (0.5% v/v)
Sugar beet	Southern Europe	Select 240	F	Annual and perennial grass weeds	EC	240 g/L	Downwards spraying with tractor mounted equipment	ca. 40 (31-39)	1	n.a.	0.128	300	0.384	56	Select 240 is to be used in combination with an oily adjuvant (0.5% v/v)
Sugar beet	Southern Europe	Select 240	F	Annual and perennial grass weeds	EC	240 g/L	Downwards spraying with tractor mounted equipment	11-19	1	n.a.	0.064	300	0.192	56	Select 240 is to be used in combination with an oily adjuvant (0.5% v/v)
Sugar beet	Southern Europe	Select 240	F	Annual and perennial grass weeds	EC	240 g/L	Downwards spraying with tractor mounted equipment	ca. 40 (11-39)	2	3 weeks	0.064	300	0.192	56	Select 240 is to be used in combination with an oily adjuvant (0.5% v/v)



- Remarks: (a) For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be used; where relevant, the use situation should be described (e.g. fumigation of a structure)
  - (b) Outdoor or field use (F), glasshouse application (G) or indoor application (I)
  - e.g. biting and suckling insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)

  - GCPF Codes GIFAP Technical Monograph No 2, 1989
  - Method, e.g. high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench
  - All abbreviations used must be explained
  - Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plants - type of equipment used must be indicated

- g/kg or g/l (i)
- Growth stage at last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application
- The minimum and maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use must be provided
- PHI minimum pre-harvest interval
- (m) Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions



#### **Methods of Analysis**

#### Analytical methods for the active substance (Annex IIA, point 4.1)

Technical as (principle of the method)

Impurities in technical as (principle of the method)

Plant protection product (principle of the method)

Dissolution in acetonitrile followed reversed phase HPLC-UV analysis

Dissolution in acetonitrile or dichloromethane followed by HPLC-UV or GC-FID analysis.

Dissolution in acetonitrile followed reversed phase HPLC-UV analysis

#### Residue definitions for monitoring purposes

Food of plant origin

Food of animal origin

Soil

Water surface

drinking/ground

Air

Body fluids

Sum of clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone expressed as clethodim

no definition of residues in animal products is required

Clethodim, clethodim oxazole sulfone

Clethodim, clethodim imine sulfoxide, clethodim imine

Clethodim

Clethodim

Not required

#### **Analytical methods for residues** (Annex IIA, point 4.2)

Food/feed of plant origin (principle of method and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes)

Food/feed of animal origin (principle of method and LOQ for methods for monitoring purposes)

Soil (principle of the method and LOQ)

Water (principle of the method and LOQ)

Air (principle of the method and LOQ)

Body fluids and tissues (principle of the method and LOQ)

Extraction with methanol/water and determination with LC-MS/MS: LOQ (clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone, individually): 0.005 mg/kg (soybean, sugar beet roots and leaves, proteinaceous peas)

No method required, however the following method was submitted:

After extraction conversion to sulfones, detection by LC-MS/MS. LOQ (clethodim sulfoxide/clethodim sulfone as sum): 0.05 mg/kg (Beef meat, fat, liver, kidney and milk and chicken meat and eggs).

Soil was extracted with MeOH:water and analysed by LC-MS/MS. LOQ (clethodim): 0.005 mg/kg Almost the same method is used for some metabolites. LOQ (clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone and clethodim oxazole sulfone): 0.005 mg/kg (individually)

Surface, ground- and tap water were subjected to C-18 SPE. After elution (MeOH) and clean-up over a SAX SPE column, the rinsate was reconstituted in acetonitrile:ammonium acetate and analysed by LC-MS/MS.

LOQ (clethodim and clethodim sulfoxide individually):  $0.1 \mu g/L$ 

Direct analyzing the water with LC-MS/MS. LOQ (clethodim imine and clethodim imine sulfoxide):  $0.05 \mu g/L$  (individually)

Air sampling cartridges (XAD-2) were extracted with acetonitril and analysed by LC-MS/MS.

LOQ: 1.0 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (clethodim and clethodim sulfoxide)

Not required, not a toxic compound



#### **Impact on Human and Animal Health**

#### Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in mammals (Annex IIA, point 6.1)

Rate and extent of absorption: 88-95% based on urine, tissues, expired CO<sub>2</sub>, cage wash, and residual carcass within 168 h.

Distribution: Widely (0.2-0.7% in tissues); highest residues in

adrenals, liver and kidneys. Potential for accumulation:

Rate and extent of excretion: Urinary: 80-86% in 24 h; faecal 8.5-14% in 24 h

Metabolism in animals Extensively metabolised, > 99% by oxidation to

clethodim sulfoxide.

Toxicologically relevant compounds

(animals and plants)

Toxicologically relevant compounds (environment)

Parent compound Parent compound

No evidence of accumulation

#### Acute toxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.2)

Rat LD50 oral 1133 mg a.s./kg bw R22 Rat LD50 dermal > 4167 mg a.s./kg bw

Rat LC50 inhalation > 3.25 mg a.s./L air/4h (whole body) (maximal attainable concentration)

Skin irritation Irritating, R38

Eye irritation Non-irritant

Skin sensitization (test method used and result) Sensitizer, R43 (M&K test)

#### **Short term toxicity** (Annex IIA, point 6.3)

**Genotoxicity** (Annex IIA, point 6.4)

Target / critical effect Liver, red blood cells (rat, mouse, dog)

Relevant oral NOAEL 21 mg a.s./kg bw/d ( 90-d and 1-yr dog)

25 mg a.s./kg bw/d (90-d rat)

74 mg a.s./kg bw/d (4-wk, range-finding, mouse)

Relevant dermal NOAEL 83 mg a.s./kg bw/d (4-wk rat)

Relevant inhalation NOAEL No data - not required

Unlikely to be genotoxic.

## **Long term toxicity and carcinogenicity** (Annex IIA, point 6.5)

Target/critical effect Decreased body weight (rat)

Liver: increased weight and associated histopathological

findings (rat, mouse)

Lungs: increased incidence of alveolar macrophages

(mouse).

Lowest relevant NOAEL / NOEL 16 mg a.s./kg bw/d (2-yr rat)

24 mg a.s./kg bw/d (18-month mouse)

Carcinogenicity No carcinogenic potential.



## Reproductive toxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.6)

Reproduction target / critical effect

Relevant parental NOAEL Relevant reproductive NOAEL Relevant offspring NOAEL

## **Developmental toxicity**

Developmental target / critical effect

Relevant maternal NOAEL

Relevant developmental NOAEL / NOEL

Parental: decreased body weight and food consumption

Pups: no adverse effects

Reproductive: no adverse effects

26.7 mg a.s./kg bw/d

133.7 mg a.s./kg bw/d

133.7 mg a.s./kg bw/d

Maternal: clinical signs, decreased body weight and food consumption (rat, rabbit), increased mortality at higher dose (rats)

Developmental: reduced foetal weight, delayed ossification, increased post-implantation loss at higher dose (rats); no adverse effect in rabbits

83.3 mg a.s./kg bw/d (rat) 20.8 mg a.s./kg bw/d (rabbit)

83.3 mg a.s./kg bw/d (rat) 250 mg a.s./kg bw/d (rabbit)

Neurotoxicity / Delayed neurotoxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.7)

No data, no indication from other studies.

#### Other toxicological studies (Annex IIA, point 6.8)

## Studies with metabolite <u>clethodim imine sulfone:</u>

- $LD_{50}$ , oral rat: > 1400 mg/kg bw
- no genotoxic potential (Ames, chrom. aberr. in vitro)
- subacute toxicity, oral, rat: NOAEL 70.9 mg/kg bw/d
- teratogenicity, oral, rat: NOAEL maternal toxicity 10 mg/kg bw/d, NOAEL developmental 100 mg/kg bw/d

#### Studies with metabolite <u>clethodim 5-OH sulfone:</u>

- $LD_{50}$ , oral rat: > 1400 mg/kg bw
- no genotoxic potential (Ames, chrom. aberr. in vitro)
- subacute toxicity, oral, rat: NOAEL 5.94 mg/kg bw/d
- teratogenicity, oral, rat: NOAEL maternal and developmental toxicity 100 mg/kg bw/d

#### Studies with metabolite <u>clethodim oxazole sulfone</u>:

Unlikely to be genotoxic (*in vitro*: negative Ames test, positive chrom. aberr., equivocal gene mutation; *in vivo*: negative mouse micronucleus).

#### Studies with clethodim sulfone:

- genotoxicity: *in vitro* some positive result (Ames test, chrom. aberr.), *in vivo* negative (mouse liver UDS), *in vivo* equivocal (mouse micronucleus)
Unlikely to be genotoxic.

#### Studies with metabolite M17R:

- oral  $LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw (rat)}$
- 28-day oral NOAEL = 400 mg/kg bw/day (rat)
- Ames test: negative

Plant metabolites



- In vitro chromosome aberration test: negative

Studies with metabolite M18R:

- oral  $LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$ 

- Ames test: negative

Medical data (Annex IIA, point 6.9)

No evidence of toxicological concern from medical surveillance of manufacturing plant personnel. No human cases of poisoning by clethodim reported.

Summary (Annex IIA, point 6.10)

ADI

**AOEL** 

ARfD (acute reference dose)

**Dermal absorption** (Annex IIIA, point 7.3)

Formulation: Select 2.0 EC

Value	Study	Safety factor
0.16 mg a.s./kg bw/d	2-yr rat	100
0.2 mg a.s./kg bw/d	90-d dog	100
	1-yr dog	
not necessary, not allocated		

15% for the undiluted formulation and 42% for the spray dilution, based on an *in vivo* dermal absorption study in rats.

#### Acceptable exposure scenarios (including method of calculation)

Operator

M. 1.1	Exposure estimates (% of AOEL)		
Model	Without PPE	With PPE	
Sugar beet – 0.3 kg ac	ctive substance in	200 L water/ha	
UK POEM – 75th	240	36 <sup>£</sup>	
DE BBA – GM <sup>*</sup>	50	2 <sup>\$</sup>	
EUROPOEM – 75 <sup>th</sup>	87	9 <sup>&amp;</sup>	
Sugar beet – 0.384 kg active substance in 300 L water/ha			
UK POEM – 75th	220	33 <sup>£</sup>	
DE BBA – GM <sup>*</sup>	64	3 <sup>\$</sup>	
EUROPOEM – 75 <sup>th</sup>	110	11 <sup>&amp;</sup>	
A 1' CUDODOEMII			

Workers

Bystanders

According to EUROPOEM II:

83% of AOEL without PPE, 8% of AOEL with gloves

According to EUROPOEM II: 1.6 – 1.7 % of AOEL

\*DE BBA – GM: German model, geometric mean values

PPE = personal protective equipment

PPE<sup>£</sup>: gloves during mixing/loading (m/l) plus application (a)

PPE\$: gloves (m/l and a), coverall and sturdy footwear (a)

PPE&: reducing the exposure by a factor of 10

## Classification and proposed labelling (Annex IIA, point 10)

with regard to toxicological data

Symbol : Xn

Risk phrase : R22, R38, R43



#### Residues

Metabolism in plants (Annex IIA, point 6.1 and 6.7, Annex IIIA, point 8.1 and 8.6)

Plant groups covered Root vegetables (

Rotational crops

Metabolism in rotational crops similar to metabolism in primary crops?

Processed commodities

Residue pattern in processed commodities similar to residue pattern in raw commodities?

Plant residue definition for monitoring

Plant residue definition for risk assessment

Conversion factor (monitoring to risk assessment)

Root vegetables (carrot)

Pulses and oilseeds (soybean and cotton)

Carrot, lettuce and wheat

Yes, clethodim extensively metabolised

No study provided and not required

Soil metabolites oxazole sulfoxide and oxazole sulfone observed in rotational crops but not in primary crops.

Root/tuber vegetable and Oilseeds/Pulses group: Sum of clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone expressed as clethodim

Root/tuber vegetables and Oilseeds/Pulses groups: Sum of clethodim, clethodim sulfone, clethodim sulfoxide and metabolites M15R, M17R and M18R expressed as clethodim

2.5 for Root/tuber vegetables and Oilseeds/Pulses

## Metabolism in livestock (Annex IIA, point 6.2 and 6.7, Annex IIIA, point 8.1 and 8.6)

Animals covered

Animal residue definition for monitoring

Animal residue definition for risk assessment

Conversion factor (monitoring to risk assessment)

Metabolism in rat and ruminant similar (yes/no)

Fat soluble residue: (yes/no)

Goat, hen.

Not proposed and not required for sugar beet use, since residues in food of animal origin were assessed to be insignificant and MRLs were not proposed.

Not proposed and not required for sugar beet use, since residues in food of animal origin were assessed to be insignificant and MRLs were not proposed.

Not applicable

Yes

No

## Residues in succeeding crops (Annex IIA, point 6.6, Annex IIIA, point 8.5)

TRR (mg equivalent/kg) in rotational crops following application to bare soil at 1100 g a.s./ha (2.9N).

Plant back interval	30	120	366 days
carrot leaf:	0.340	0.420	0.053
carrot root:	0.021	0.019	0.005
lettuce:	0.084	0.045	0.016
wheat straw:	0.480	0.650	0.420
wheat grain:	0.025	0.012	0.021

Individual compound not expected to be present in significant levels (above 0.01 mg/kg) in rotational crops when clethodim is applied according to the cGAP.



Stability of residues (Annex IIA, point 6 introduction, Annex IIIA, point 8 introduction)

Clethodim is stable up to 9 and 11 months in sugar beet tops and sugar beet roots, when stored at -20°C and analysed as DME (dimethyl ester sulfone) using the common moiety method.

No information is provided on the stability of the individual compounds (clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone) included in the residue definition for monitoring (data gap).

Clethodim (measured as DME), S-methyl clethodim sulfoxide (measured as S-methyl-DME) and 5-OH clethodim sulfone (measured as DME-OH) were stable during storage at -18°C for approximately 2 months in egg, for at least 6 weeks in gizzard, liver (poultry), muscle (poultry) and fat (poultry), and for approximately 5 months in bovine milk, fat, kidney, liver and muscle.

#### Residues from livestock feeding studies (Annex IIA, point 6.4, Annex IIIA, point 8.3)

Expected intakes by livestock  $\geq 0.1$  mg/kg diet (dry weight basis) yes/no (if yes, specify the level)

Potential for accumulation (yes/no):

Metabolism indicate potential residues ≥0.01 mg/kg in edible tissues (yes/no)

#### Feeding studies

Kidney
Muscle
Fat
Milk
Eggs

Liver

Ruminant:	Poultry:	Pig:
Yes <sup>1</sup> 1.36/1.54 mg/kg DM Dairy/Beef cattle	Yes <sup>1</sup> 0.13 mg/kg DM	Yes <sup>1</sup> 1.35 mg/kg DM
No	No	No
No	No	No

**Dairy cattle:** (1 mg clethodim + 19 mg clethodim sulfoxide/kg feed) *ca.* 10/14 N study (beef/dairy cattle)

**Poultry:** 0.74 mg clethodim + 11 mg clethodim sulfoxide/kg deed) *ca.* 90 N study

**Residue levels in matrices:** Max. values (mg/kg), analysed as DME/S-meth-DME/DME-OH using a common moiety method<sup>2</sup>

0.059/<0.05/<0.05	<0.05/<0.05/<0.05	-
0.051/<0.05/<0.05	-	-
<0.05/<0.05/<0.05	<0.05/<0.05/<0.05	-
<0.05/<0.05/<0.05	<0.05/<0.05/<0.05	-
<0.0125/<0.0125/0.0125		
	<0.05/<0.05/<0.05	

<sup>1:</sup> Animal intakes calculated using HR values of 0.05 mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg for sugar beet roots and leaves, respectively, and a correction factor of 2.5.

- Clethodim and clethodim-like metabolites containing the 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)cyclohexene-3-one moiety are converted to DME,
- 5-OH clethodim and 5-OH clethodim like metabolites containing the 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)-5-hydroxycyclohexene-3-one moiety are converted to DME-OH,
- S-methyl-clethodim and S-methyl like metabolites are converted to S-methyl-DME,

the residues being expressed as clethodim equivalents.

Based on these feeding studies it was concluded that no residues are expected to be present at significant levels in animal matrices, and no residue definitions and MRLs were proposed for products of animal origin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>: Samples analyzed according to the common moiety method RM-26A where:



## **Summary of critical residues data** (Annex IIA, point 6.3, Annex IIIA, point 8.2)

Crop	Northern or Southern	Trials results relevant texpressed as clethodim				HR (mg/kg)	STMR (mg/kg)
	Region	DME method <sup>1</sup>	HPLC-MS/MS method <sup>2</sup>		(mg/kg)	(IIIg/Kg)	(Ilig/Rg)
Sugar beet (roots)	NEU	4x <0.05	2x <0.015, 0.040	NEU trials: Single application at 301 to 333 g a.s./ha,	0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	SEU	7x <0.05 2x <0.05 (split applications)	2x <0.015	PHI of 43 to 58 days			
Sugar beet (Tops/leaves)	NEU	<0.05, 0.07, 0.17, 0.25	2x <0.015, 0.179	SEU trials: - Single application at 363 to 409 g a.s./ha, PHI of 43 to 61 days	-	0.25	<0.05
	SEU	5x <0.05, 0.06, 0.22 <0.05, 0.09 (split applications)	2x <0.015	- Split applications: 2 treatments at 193 to 203 g a.s./ha each, PHI of 61 days			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> **DME method:** Common method moiety where clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, imine sulfoxide and imine sulfone are determined as dimethyl ester sulfone (DME) and expressed as clethodim equivalents (even wider than the proposed residue definition for enforcement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> **HPLC-MS/MS method**: Analytical method where clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide and clethodim sulfone are quantified individually by HPLC-MS/MS with a LOQ of 0.005 mg/kg for each compound (global LOQ: 0.015 mg/kg).



## Consumer risk assessment (Annex IIA, point 6.9, Annex IIIA, point 8.8)

A	DI	
<b>/</b>	וע	

TMDI (% ADI) according to PRIMo rev. 2 model,

IEDI (WHO European Diet) (% ADI)

NEDI (specify diet) (% ADI)

Factors included in TMDI/IEDI calculations

#### **ARfD**

IESTI (% ARfD)

NESTI (% ARfD) according to national (to be specified) large portion consumption data

Factors included in IESTI and NESTI

0.16 mg/kg bw/day
Maximum TMDI: < 2 % ADI (UK toddler)
Not required
Not required
CF of 2.5
Not allocated, not necessary
Not applicable
Not applicable
Not applicable

An additional intake by consumers through drinking water derived from groundwater was considered with regard to the metabolite clethodim sulfone (1.09  $\mu g/L$ ) and was shown to be negligible (0.1 % of the ADI for infants).

Processing factors (Annex IIA, point 6.5, Annex IIIA, point 8.4)

Crop/processed crop	Number - of studies	Transfer factor		Amount	
		Transfer factor	Yield factor	transferred (%)	
No acceptable data (residue level in sugar beet root (RAC) <loq)< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq)<>					

Proposed MRLs (Annex IIA, point 6.7, Annex IIIA, point 8.6)

Sugar beet root	0.05 mg/kg
Bugur beet 100t	0.03 mg/kg



#### **Fate and Behaviour in the Environment**

#### Route of degradation (aerobic) in soil (Annex IIA, point 7.1.1.1.1)

Mineralization after 100 days % refers to the applied radioactivity

Non-extractable residues after 100 days % refers to the applied radioactivity

Major metabolites – name and/or code, % of Applied radioactivity (range and maximum)

Anaerobic degradation

Soil photolysis

Soil	Propyl label
Sandy loam I	47% AR (d124), 55% (d380)
Soil	Allyl label, Ring label
Sandy loam II	45%-57% AR (d125-121)
Clay loam	34.2%-63.6% AR (d119-57)
Loam	45.4%-57% AR (d119-57)
Loamy sand	36%-58.4% AR (d119-57):
-	
Soil	Propyl label
Sandy loam I	17% AR (d124), 16% AR
(d380)	
Soil	Allyl label, Ring label
Sandy loam II	13%-29% AR (d119-125)
Clay loam	53.3%-27.6% AR (d119-57)
Loam	45%-27.3% (AR d119-57)
Loamy sand	49.9%-19.3% AR (d119-57)
_	

#### **Clethodim sulfoxide:**

Sandy loam I: max 63% AR at day 7 (Propyl label)

Soil Allyl label, Ring label
Sandy loam II: max 65%-73% AR at day 7-3
Clay loam: max 59.6%-72% ARat day 1-2
Loam: max 65.2%-67.5% AR at day 1-2
Loamy sand: max 53.8% AR at day 1-2

#### Clethodim sulfone:

Sandy loam I: max 11% AR at day 61 (Propyl label)

Soil Allyl label, Ring label
Sandy loam II: max 15%-16% AR at day 30
Clay loam: max 25.8%-33.3% AR at day 7-14
Loam: max 20.9%-24.4% AR at day 11-14
Loamy sand: max 11.9%-12.6% AR at day 7

#### Clethodim oxazole sulfone:

Sandy loam I: max 10% AR at day 380 (propyl label)
Sandy loam II: max 8.6% ARat day 121 (ring label)
Loamy sand: max 7.5% AR at 57 days (ring label)

Mineralisation maximum 6.8% AR at 31 d

Non-extractable residues maximum 22% AR at 62 d

#### Metabolites

clethodim sulfoxide, maximum 79% AR at 1 d clethodim imine, maximum 44% AR at 31 d clethodim imine sulfoxide, maximum 14% AR at 31 d

 $[ring-4,6-^{14}C]$  (n=1)

Clay loam,  $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C

**Clethodim:** 



% refers to the applied radioactivity

max  $DT_{50} = 0.16$  days (irradiated samples) max  $DT_{50} = 2.88$  days (dark samples)

#### Major metabolites:

Clethodim sulfoxide: (allyl - ring labels)

max 60.4% - 53.7% at d 1 (irradiated)

max 89.2% - 88.1% at d 15-10 (dark)

max DT<sub>50</sub>: 1.55 days (irradiated-ring label)

stable in dark

Trans-3-chloroacrylic acid: max 18.1% at d 3 (irr)

DT<sub>50</sub>: 6.49 days (irradiated-allyl label)

2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid isomers:

max 18.7% at the end of irradiation period (irr)

No DT<sub>50</sub> value

#### Rate of degradation in soil (Annex IIA, point 7.1.1.2, Annex IIIA, point 9.1.1)

Method of calculation

First order kinetics; integrated fit. Normalisation according to temperature (ref 20°C) and moisture content (pF2) using a Walker equation coefficient of 0.7 and Q10 of 2.58.

Field: no reliable data available

Clethodim						
Soil type	label	рН	t. °C / % MWHC	DT <sub>50</sub> /DT <sub>90</sub> (d)	DT <sub>50</sub> (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa	Chi <sup>2</sup>
Sandy loam	Propyl	7.1	25°C / 75% FC	2.55/8.50	3.04	9.3
Sandy loam	Allyl	7.5	20°C / 75% FC	1.08/3.59	1.28	14.3
	Ring	7.5	20°C / 75% FC	1.18/3.92	1.40	14.5
	geometric mean				1.34	
Clay loam	Allyl	7.3	20°C / 40-50% MWC	0.23/0.76	0.17	11.8
	Ring	7.3	20°C / 40-50% MWC	0.36/1.20	0.27	113.1#
	geometric mean				0.21	
Loam	Allyl	6.8	20°C / 40-50% MWC	0.38/1.26	0.28	11.8
	Ring	6.8	20°C / 40-50% MWC	0.36/1.21	0.26	108#
	geometric mean				0.27	
Loamy sand	Allyl	5.7	20°C / 40-50% MWC	0.40/1.33	0.48	21.4
	Ring	5.7	20°C / 40-50% MWC	0.52/1.73	0.62	67.8#
	geometric mean				0.55	
Geometric mean/median					0.66/0.55	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> The high chi2 values for the ring-labelled studies in the Mamouni study are due to inaccurate study design on sampling times (first sampling point after time zero was after 2 days which is a too long period for such a fast degrading substance). Nevertheless, because the results in  $DT_{50}$  are very similar between the allyl and the ring-labelled studies, overall the results are acceptable.



pH dependence ‡ (yes / no) (if yes type of dependence)

No			

Clethodim sulfoxide							
Soil type	label	рН	t. °C / % MWHC	DT <sub>50</sub> /DT <sub>90</sub> (d)	DT <sub>50</sub> (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa <sup>#</sup>	ff	
Sandy loam	Propyl	7.1	25°C / 75% FC	22.14/73.55	26.26	80.99	
Sandy loam	Allyl	7.5	20°C / 75% FC	15.92/52.89	18.18	87	
	Ring	7.5	20°C / 75% FC	16.42/54.55	19.47	89.3	
	geometr	ric me	an DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic	mean ff	18.81		88.15
Clay loam	Allyl	7.3	20°C / 40-50% MWC	3.67/12.19	2.71	83.22	
	Ring	7.3	20°C / 40-50% MWC	3.58/11.89	2.64	100	
	geometr	ric me	an DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic	mean ff	2.67		91.61
Loam	Allyl	6.8	20°C / 40-50% MWC	7.82/25.98	5.66	91.76	
	Ring	6.8	20°C / 40-50% MWC	5.42/18.00	3.93	91.61	
	geometr	ric me	an DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic	mean ff	4.72		91.69
Loamy sand	Allyl	5.7	20°C / 40-50% MWC	3.7/12.29	4.42	100	
	Ring	5.7	20°C / 40-50% MWC	5.04/16.74	6.01	67.89	
	geometric mean DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic mean ff			5.15		83.95	
Geometric mean/	median DT	<sub>50</sub> ; arit	hmetic mean ff		7.97/5.15	87.28	

<sup>\*</sup>For Chi2 values reference is made to the table of clethodim.

Clethodim sulfone							
Soil type	label	pН	t. °C / % MWHC	DT <sub>50</sub> /DT <sub>90</sub> (d)	DT <sub>50</sub> (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa <sup>#</sup>	ff	
Sandy loam	Propyl	7.1	25°C / 75% FC	22.14/73.55	35.32	27.46	
Sandy loam	Allyl	7.5	20°C / 75% FC	15.92/52.89	55.92	31.8	
	Ring	7.5	20°C / 75% FC	16.42/54.55	31.62	40.5	
	geometr	ric me	an DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic	mean ff	42.05		36.15
Clay loam	Allyl	7.3	20°C / 40-50% MWC	3.67/12.19	9.29	66.9	
	Ring	7.3	20°C / 40-50% MWC	3.58/11.89	9.23	40.92	
	geometr	ric me	an DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic	mean ff	9.26		53.91
Loam	Allyl	6.8	20°C / 40-50% MWC	7.82/25.98	8.52	54.99	
	Ring	6.8	20°C / 40-50% MWC	5.42/18.00	10.47	50.54	



	geometric mean DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic mean ff			9.44		52.77	
Loamy sand	Allyl	5.7	20°C / 40-50% MWC	3.7/12.29	5.49	15	
	Ring	5.7	20°C / 40-50% MWC	5.04/16.74	2.89	79.78	
	geometric mean DT <sub>50</sub> , arithmetic mean ff			3.98		47.39	
Geometric mean/me	Geometric mean/median DT <sub>50</sub> ; arithmetic mean ff				13.89/9.44	43.54	

<sup>#</sup> For Chi2 values reference is made to the table of clethodim.

Clethodim oxazole sulfone							
Soil type	label	рН	t. °C / % MWHC	DT <sub>50</sub> /DT <sub>90</sub> (d)	DT <sub>50</sub> (d) 20°C pF2/10kPa	Chi <sup>2</sup>	ff
Sandy loam	§	6.4	20°C / 40-60% MWC	20/66	20	8.5	*
Loamy sand	§	5.4	20°C / 40-60% MWC	24/79	24	6.4	*
Clay	§	7.2	20°C / 40-60% MWC	68/227	68	7.3	*
Geometric mean		•			32		16.95#

<sup>§</sup> cold study

**Data gap** identified for experimental degradation rates in soil of the two soil photolysis metabolites 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid and *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid.

Field studies (state location, range or median with n value)

Soil accumulation and plateau concentration

No acceptable field study.

DT<sub>50f</sub>: no reliable data submitted

DT<sub>90f</sub>: no reliable data submitted

No accumulation is expected for clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone or clethodim oxazole sulfone

No accumulation study was conducted.

#### Soil adsorption/desorption (Annex IIA, point 7.1.2)

Clethodim							
Soil Type	OC %	Soil pH (CaCl <sub>2</sub> )	Kd (mL/g)	Koc (mL/g)	K <sub>F</sub> (mL/g)	K <sub>Foc</sub> (mL/g)	1/n
Sandy loam	2.3	5.6	-	-	0.993	43.17	1.04
Loam	1.28	7.4	-	-	0.065	5.08	0.97
Clay loam	4.13	7.5	-	-	0.112	2.71	0.98
Silt loam	2	5.4	-	-	0.794	39.7	1.05
Arithmetic mean	·					22.7	1.01
pH dependence, Yes or No			Yes. Although a very narrow range of soil pH was tested for clethodim, a higher adsorption was observed for the acidic soils.				

<sup>\*</sup> applied as test compound

<sup>#</sup> based on ring label loamy sand study (pH 5.7)



 $K_{F}$ 

Koc

 $K_d = (K_{oc} \times \% \text{ o.c})$ 

pH dependence (yes/No) if yes, type of dependence

#### Clethodim sulfoxide

 $K_{Foc} = 24/14/2 \text{ L/kg}$  (arithmetic mean = 13.3 L/kg)

 $K_F = 0.550/0.184/0.072$ 

1/n = 0.71/0.78/1.02 (arithmetic mean = 0.83)

No effect of pH

#### Clethodim sulfone

 $K_{Foc} = 16/11/5 \text{ L/kg}$  (arithmetic mean = 10.7 L/kg)

 $K_F = 0.366/0.146/0.194$ 

1/n = 0.77/0.87/0.74 (arithmetic mean = 0.79)

No effect of pH

#### Clethodim oxazole sulfoxide

 $K_{doc} = 26/58/130 \text{ L/kg}$  (arithmetic mean = 71.3 L/kg)

 $K_d$ = 0.6/1.66/2.4 1/n = no data No effect of pH

#### Clethodim oxazole sulfone

 $K_{Foc} = 12/96/43 \text{ L/kg}$  (arithmetic mean = 50.3 L/kg)

 $K_F = 0.277/1.249/1.779$ 

1/n = 1.09/1.00/1.01 (arithmetic mean = 1.03)

No effect of pH

#### Koc and 1/n used for FOCUS PECgw modeling:

Clethodim: worst-case  $K_{Foc} = 4$  L/kg for all the FOCUS scenarios and 1/n = 0.975 (agreed in PRAPeR 78) (geometric mean of KFoc values at alkaline pH)

Arithmetic mean Koc (L/kg) / 1/n Clethodim sulfoxide: 13 / 0.83 Clethodim sulfone: 11 / 0.79 Clethodim oxazole sulfone: 51 / 1.03

**Data gap** identified for experimental soil adsorption values of the two soil photolysis metabolites 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid and *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid.

#### Mobility in soil (Annex IIA, point 7.1.3, Annex IIIA, point 9.1.2)

Column leaching

Aged residues leaching

Lysimeter/ field leaching studies

Guideline: BBA IV, 4-2 Precipitation: 200 mm Time period: 2 d

Leachate: 30-68% of applied; parent 2.4-7.1%, clethodim sulfoxide 26-59%, clethodim sulfone 1.6-5.3%, clethodim oxazole sulfoxide, clethodim oxazole sulfone <2.4%; soil concentrations not determined. [unlabelled clethodim]

Guideline: BBA IV, 4-2 Precipitation: 200 mm Time period: 2 d

Leachate: parent, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, clethodim oxazole sulfoxide, clethodim oxazole sulfone: all <1.8%; soil concentrations not

determined.

[unlabeled clethodim]

No data submitted.



#### PEC (soil) (Annex IIIA, point 9.1.3)

Method of calculation

Application data

First order kinetics with a normalized max  $DT_{50}$  of 3.04 days

Bulk density of soil: 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> Depth of the soil layer: 5 cm

Crop: sugar beet Northern Europe:

90 g a.s./ha about BBCH 40\* (GAP 300 with 70 % foliar interception)

#### Southern Europe:

116.7 g a.s./ha at BBCH 40\* (GAP 384 with 70 % foliar interception)

\*Based on the intended uses as originally proposed by the applicant in the resubmission dossier, the correct GAPs should be as follows:

#### NORTHERN EUROPE

GAP 300: 1 application of 300 g a.s./ha (BBCH 31-39); effective application rate 90 g a.s./ha (GAP 240: 1 application of 240 g a.s./ha (BBCH 12-39); effective application rate 192 g a.s./ha (GAP 180: 1 application of 180 g a.s./ha (BBCH 12-39); effective application rate 144 g a.s./ha

#### SOUTHERN EUROPE

GAP 384: 1 application of 384 g a.s./ha (BBCH 31-39); effective application rate 115.2 g a.s./ha GAP 2x192: 2 applications of 192 g a.s./ha at 21 d interval (BBCH 11-39); effective application rate 153.6 g a.s./ha

GAP 192: 1 application of 192 g a.s./ha (BBCH 11-19); effective application rate 153.6 g a.s./ha

GAP 300, 384 with 70 % foliar interception GAP 240, 2x192, 192, 180 with 20 % foliar interception.

The corresponding PECsoil calculations for clethodim and its soil metabolites can be found in the Additional Report (November 2009) (The Netherlands, 2009).

The max. initial PECsoil value for clethodim is **0.256** mg/kg as a result of the calculations for the GAP 240. This value has been properly used in the risk assessment for soil organisms.

#### Clethodim sulfoxide

Molar mass = 375.9 g/mol

Laboratory -SFO-  $DT_{50} = 26.26$  days (worst-case normalised)

Formation fraction = 100%

#### Clethodim sulfone

Molar mass = 391.9 g/molLaboratory -SFO-  $DT_{50} = 42.05 \text{ days}$ 

Formation fraction = 79.78% (highest of 2 replicates)

Metabolites - parameters used for PECs calculation



#### Clethodim oxazole sulfone

Molar mass = 299.4 g/mol

Laboratory -SFO-  $DT_{50} = 121.15$  days

Formation fraction = 16.95%

The  $DT_{50}$  for clethodim oxazole sulfone has been determined in a new study and has been shown to be 68 days as opposed to 121.15 days. Revised short-term and long-term PECs have not been calculated, as the existing values are worst case. Moreover, PECmax is used for risk assessment.

PEC accumulation is not relevant.

#### GAP 300 NORTHERN EUROPE: 1 application of 300 g Clethodim/ha

PEC	Days	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted average (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.120	0.120
	1	0.096	0.107
Short-term	2	0.076	0.096
	4	0.048	0.079
	7	0.024	0.060
	21	0.001	0.025
Long-term	28	0.000	0.019
	50	0.000	0.011
	100	0.000	0.005

PECsoil Clethodim sulfoxide	Days after maximum	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted average (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.095	0.095
	1	0.094	0.095
Short-term	2	0.094	0.094
	4	0.091	0.093
	7	0.086	0.092
	21	0.061	0.080
Long-term	28	0.051	0.074
	50	0.029	0.058
	100	0.008	0.037



PECsoil Clethodim sulfone	Days after maximum	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted average (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.047	0.047
	1	0.047	0.047
Short-term	2	0.047	0.047
	4	0.047	0.047
	7	0.047	0.047
	21	0.044	0.046
Long-term	28	0.042	0.045
	50	0.034	0.042
	100	0.019	0.034

PECsoil Clethodim oxazole sulfone	Days after maximum	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted average (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.007091	0.007091
	1	0.007091	0.007091
Short-term	2	0.007090	0.007091
	4	0.007087	0.007090
	7	0.007079	0.007087
	21	0.006984	0.007055
Long-term	28	0.006908	0.007028
	50	0.006576	0.006907
	100	0.005537	0.006491

# GAP 384 SOUTHERN EUROPE: 1 application of 384 g/ha

PEC <sub>soil</sub>	Days after application	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted Average Concentration (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.154	0.154
	1	0.122	0.137
Short-term	2	0.097	0.123
	4	0.062	0.101
	7	0.031	0.077
	21	0.001	0.032
Long-term	28	0.000	0.024
	50	0.000	0.014
	100	0.000	0.007



PECsoil Clethodim sulfoxide	Days after max peak	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted Average Concentration (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.121	0.121
	1	0.121	0.121
Short-term	2	0.120	0.121
	4	0.117	0.120
	7	0.111	0.117
	21	0.079	0.102
Long-term	28	0.065	0.094
	50	0.037	0.075
	100	0.010	0.048

PECsoil Clethodim sulfone	Days after max peak	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted Average Concentration (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.061	0.061
	1	0.061	0.061
Short-term	2	0.061	0.061
	4	0.061	0.061
	7	0.060	0.061
	21	0.056	0.059
Long-term	28	20.054	0.058
	50	0.044	0.054
	100	0.024	0.044

PECsoil Clethodim oxazole sulfone	Days after max peak	Actual Concentration (mg/kg soil)	Time Weighted Average Concentration (mg/kg soil)
Initial	0	0.00908	0.00908
	1	0.00908	0.00908
Short-term	2	0.00908	0.00908
	4	0.00907	0.00908
	7	0.00906	0.00907
	21	0.00894	0.00903
Long-term	28	0.00884	0.00900
-	50	0.00842	0.00884
	100	0.00709	0.00831

### Max. iniPECsoil for metabolites:

Clethodim sulfoxide: 0.291 mg/kg (GAP 2x192) Clethodim sulfone: 0.160 mg/kg (GAP 2x192) Clethodim oxazole sulfone: 0.024 mg/kg (GAP 2x192)



#### Route and rate of degradation in water (Annex IIA, point 7.2.1)

Hydrolysis of active substance and relevant metabolites (DT50) (state pH and temperature)

propyl-label

pH 5: 28 d at 25 °C (1st order, r2=0.99)

allyl-label

pH 5: 54 d at 25 °C (1st order, r2=0.94)

propyl-label

pH 7: 300 d at 25 °C (1st order, r2=0.96)

allyl-label

pH 7: 499 d at 25 °C (1st order, r2=0.82)

propyl-label

pH 9: 310 d at 25 °C (1st order, r2=0.96)

propyl-label: the major hydrolysis product oxazole RE-47365 (maximum levels recorded after 32 days: 50.5, 6.8 and 4.9% at pH 5, 7 and 9, respectively).

allyl-label, the major hydrolysis product was chloroallyl alcohol (RE-46261; maximum levels recorded after 30 days were 30.7 and 4.3% at pH 5 and 7, respectively).

Photolytic degradation of active substance

Not sensitised:

pH 5:  $DT_{50} = 1.49 - 1.71 (25^{\circ}C)$ 

pH 7:  $DT_{50} = 4.05 - 6.84 (25^{\circ}C)$ 

pH 9:  $DT_{50} = 6.0 - 9.57 (25^{\circ}C)$ 

Sensitised (with acetone)

pH 5:  $DT_{50} = 0.20 - 0.94 (25^{\circ}C)$ 

pH 7:  $DT_{50} = 0.61 - 1.22 (25^{\circ}C)$ 

pH 9:  $DT_{50} = 0.33 - 0.52 (25^{\circ}C)$ 

DME sulfoxide: maximum 48.9% clethodim imine sulfoxide: maximum 23.0% clethodim imine: maximum 18.2% clethodim sulfoxide: maximum 14.2% imine ketone: maximum 11.8% clethodim oxazole sulfoxide: maximum 6.9% clethodim oxazole: maximum 5.5% chloroallyl alcohol: maximum 31.3% 3-chloropropenal: maximum 31.3%

The maximum amounts of a.s. in the dark control samples at the end of the study are 88.8%, 94.5% and 85.7% at pH 5, 7 and 9.

Yes

relevant metabolites % refers to the applied radioactivity

Readily biodegradable (yes/no)

Degradation in water/sediment

- DT<sub>50</sub> water
- DT<sub>90</sub> water

River	River			Pond		
Ring	Allyl	geomean	Ring	Allyl	geomean	
8.9	5.5	7.0	13.2	9.2	11.0	
29.4	18.3	-	44.0	30.4	-	

Clethodim - Water Phase

Clethodim – Total System						
River			Pond			
Ring	Allyl	geomean	Ring	Allyl	geomean	
11.1	7.38	9.0	15.0	13.6	14.3	
36.8	25.9		49.9	45.2		

- DT<sub>90</sub> total system

<sup>-</sup> DT<sub>50</sub> total system



-	$DT_{50}$	total	system
---	-----------	-------	--------

- DT<sub>90</sub> total system

-	D	$T_{50}$	total	sys	tem
---	---	----------	-------	-----	-----

<sup>-</sup> DT<sub>90</sub> total system

Mineralization (allyl-ring labels)

Non-extractable residues (allyl-ring labels)

Distribution in water / sediment systems (active substance)

Distribution in water / sediment systems (relevant metabolites) % AR.

Clethodim sulfoxide— Total System						
River			Pond			
Ring	Allyl	geomean	Ring	Allyl	geomean	
31.3	27.4	29.3	24.5	13.3	18.1	
104	91	-	83	44	-	

Clethodim		Clethodim		Clethodim	
imine		imine		sulfone	
		sulfoxide			
Total System	n	Total System		Total System	
River	Pond	River	Pond	River	Pond
50.0*	46.9*	41.5*	34.5*	360*	
166*	156*	138*	156*	1196*	

<sup>\*</sup> mean from both labels

River: 32.3-34.7% of AR at d 174 Pond I: 26.8-43.7% of AR at d 174 Pond II: 18.3% of AR at d 196 (ring)

River: 22.1-24.4% at d 174 Pond I: 27.7-32.9% at d 174 Pond II: 32.5% at d 196

River: at day 0, 96.1% of AR in water, less than 2% from d 42 Pond I: at day 0, 96.5% of AR in water, less than 4% from d 56 Pond II: at day 0, 70.5% of AR in water, less than 5% from day 103

Maximum observed in sediment

River: 10.8-11.1% of AR at d 7-14 (ring-allyl) Pond I: 8.6-12% of AR at d 2-7 (ring-allyl) Pond II: 2.6% of AR at d 28 (ring)

Water:

Clethodim sulfoxide: max 57.8% day 14 (allyl-river) Clethodim sulfone: max 10.4% at day 68 (allyl-pond) Clethodim imine sulfoxide: max 7.1 % at day 33 (ring-river)

Clethodim imine: <2.1%

Sediment:

Clethodim sulfoxide: < 5.3% Clethodim sulfone: < 3.1%

Clethodim imine: max 35.8% at day 33 (ring-pond) Clethodim imine sulfoxide: max 15.5% at d 61(ring-pond)



#### PEC (surface water) (Annex IIIA, point 9.2.3) and PEC sediment

Method of calculation

Application rate

STEPS 1-2 in FOCUS, STEP 3 in FOCUS Only highest tier in LOEP. All tiers are presented in the addendum.

Crop: sugar beet

NORTHERN EUROPE

GAP 300: 1 application of 300 g a.s./ha GAP 240: 1 application of 240 g a.s./ha GAP 180: 1 application of 180 g a.s./ha

SOUTHERN EUROPE

GAP 384: 1 application of 384 g a.s./ha

GAP 2x192: 2 applications of 192 g a.s./ha at 14 d\* interval

GAP 192: 1 application of 192 g a.s./ha

GAP 300, 384 with 70 % foliar interception

GAP 240, 2x192, 192, 180 with 20% foliar interception

\*14 d interval was used in the risk assessment instead of the representative 21 d interval, however, this was considered acceptable as it represents a more worst case.

Drift, drainage and run-off.

Active substance: Clethodim Molecular mass 359.92 g/mol Water solubility 5450 mg/L Mean DT<sub>50</sub> soil 0.56 days

Koc 4 mL/g (worst case) Max DT<sub>50</sub> water 19.7 days (conservative)

Max DT<sub>50</sub> total system 23 days (conservative 14.3 days could

have been used)

DT<sub>50</sub> sediment 1000 days

Main routes of entry



Metabolites	Clethodim sulfoxide	Clethodim sulfone	Clethodim oxazole sulfone	Clethodim imine	Clethodim imine sulfoxide
Molecular mass (g/mol)	375.9	391.9	299.4	269	285
Solubility (mg/L)	73	46.43	1468	0.6644	103.13
Max formed in total system (%)	61.5	13.5	-	36.3	21.7
Max formed in soil (%)	73	33.3	10	Not detected in soil	Not detected in soil
Mean DT <sub>50</sub> soil (days)	7.01	12.53	<sup>&amp;</sup> 121.15	Not detected in soil	Not detected in soil
Koc (mL/g)	9	9.66	<sup>&amp;</sup> 55	240*	49.9*
Max DT <sub>50</sub> water (days)	31.3	360	1000 default value	50	46
Max DT <sub>50</sub> total system (days)	31.3	360	Not major in water sediment study	50	46
Max DT <sub>50</sub> sed (days)	1000	1000	1000 default value	1000	1000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>&</sup> Values very different but conservative compared to the 32 days and 71 mL/g that could have been selected.

 $DT_{50}$  (days) used in FOCUS PECsw modeling for the both compartments in STEP 1 and the water compartment in STEP 2 and 3 (sediment compartment default of 1000 days for STEP 2/3):

Clethodim: 23 d for STEP 1, 19.7 d for STEP 2/3

(worst-case values)

Clethodim sulfoxide: 31.3 d Clethodim sulfone: 360 d Clethodim imine: 50 d

Clethodim imine sulfoxide: 46 d

<sup>\*</sup> EPIWIN v3.11 estimation



### **CLETHODIM STEP 3**

### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP300-D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	1.571	-	0.115	-	
1	0.713	1.216	0.082	0.109	
2	0.075	0.762	0.058	0.097	
4	0.001	0.389	0.041	0.076	
7	0.000	0.223	0.031	0.060	
14	0.000	0.111	0.021	0.043	
21	0.000	0.074	0.016	0.035	
28	0.000	0.056	0.013	0.030	
42	0.000	0.016	0.009	0.024	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP300-D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.064	-	0.021	-	
1	0.061	0.062	0.021	0.021	
2	0.060	0.061	0.021	0.021	
4	0.056	0.060	0.021	0.021	
7	0.051	0.057	0.021	0.021	
14	0.041	0.052	0.020	0.021	
21	0.033	0.047	0.018	0.021	
28	0.026	0.042	0.017	0.021	
42	0.017	0.035	0.014	0.020	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP300-D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	1.244	-	0.021	-
1	0.000	0.058	0.003	0.006
2	0.000	0.029	0.002	0.004
4	0.000	0.015	0.002	0.003
7	0.000	0.008	0.001	0.002
14	0.000	0.005	0.001	0.002
21	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.002
28	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.001
42	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.001



## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP300-R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.064	-	0.022	
1	0.061	0.062	0.022	0.022
2	0.059	0.061	0.022	0.022
4	0.056	0.059	0.021	0.022
7	0.051	0.057	0.021	0.022
14	0.042	0.052	0.020	0.021
21	0.033	0.047	0.018	0.021
28	0.026	0.043	0.016	0.021
42	0.016	0.035	0.013	0.020

## Step 3 results for Clethodim with $\,$ GAP300-R1 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	1.091	-	0.042	-	
1	0.000	0.228	0.012	0.023	
2	0.000	0.114	0.009	0.017	
4	0.000	0.057	0.006	0.012	
7	0.000	0.032	0.005	0.009	
14	0.000	0.018	0.004	0.0077	
21	0.000	0.012	0.003	0.006	
28	0.000	0.009	0.002	0.005	
42	0.000	0.006	0.002	0.004	

# Step 3 results for Clethodim with $\ GAP300-R3\ Stream$

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	1.534	-	0.071	-	
1	0.003	0.513	0.027	0.049	
2	0.000	0.257	0.019	0.037	
4	0.000	0.128	0.014	0.027	
7	0.000	0.086	0.014	0.023	
14	0.000	0.043	0.009	0.017	
21	0.000	0.029	0.007	0.014	
28	0.000	0.022	0.005	0.012	
42	0.000	0.014	0.004	0.009	



### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP384-D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	2.011	-	0.147	-
1	0.913	1.556	0.105	0.139
2	0.096	0.975	0.074	0.123
4	0.001	0.498	0.052	0.097
7	0.000	0.285	0.040	0.076
14	0.000	0.143	0.027	0.055
21	0.000	0.095	0.021	0.045
28	0.000	0.071	0.016	0.038
42	0.000	0.047	0.011	0.030

## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP384-D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.081	-	0.027	-
1	0.079	0.080	0.027	0.027
2	0.076	0.079	0.027	0.027
4	0.072	0.076	0.027	0.027
7	0.066	0.073	0.027	0.027
14	0.053	0.066	0.025	0.027
21	0.042	0.060	0.023	0.027
28	0.033	0.054	0.021	0.026
42	0.021	0.045	0.018	0.025

## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP384–D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	1.592	-	0.026	-
1		0.074	0.004	0.008
2	0.000	0.037	0.003	0.005
4	0.000	0.018	0.002	0.004
7	0.000	0.011	0.002	0.003
14	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.002
21	0.000	0.004	0.001	0.002
28	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.002
42	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001



### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP384-R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.081	-	0.028	-	
1	0.078	0.080	0.028	0.028	
2	0.076	0.079	0.027	0.028	
4	0.071	0.076	0.027	0.028	
7	0.065	0.073	0.027	0.027	
14	0.054	0.066	0.025	0.027	
21	0.042	0.060	0.023	0.027	
28	0.033	0.055	0.021	0.026	
42	0.020	0.045	0.017	0.025	

## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP384-R1 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	1.396	-	0.053	-
1	0.000	0.292	0.016	0.029
2	0.000	0.146	0.011	0.022
4	0.000	0.073	0.008	0.016
7	0.000	0.042	0.006	0.012
14	0.000	0.023	0.005	0.009
21	0.000	0.016	0.004	0.008
28	0.000	0.012	0.003	0.006
42	0.000	0.008	0.002	0.005

## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP384 -R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry s	sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	1.964	-	0.091	-
1	0.003	0.656	0.035	0.063
2	0.000	0.329	0.025	0.047
4	0.000	0.164	0.018	0.034
7	0.000	0.110	0.018	0.029
14	0.000	0.055	0.011	0.022
21	0.000	0.037	0.008	0.018
28	0.000	0.028	0.007	0.015
42	0.000	0.018	0.005	0.012



## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP2x192 -D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.874	-	0.081	-	
1	0.504	0.717	0.064	0.079	
2	0.097	0.491	0.049	0.072	
4	0.002	0.258	0.037	0.060	
7	0.000	0.148	0.029	0.050	
14	0.000	0.074	0.021	0.038	
21	0.000	0.090	0.016	0.031	
28	0.000	0.068	0.013	0.030	
42	0.000	0.045	0.009	0.026	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP2x192 -D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.055	-	0.021	-
1	0.053	0.054	0.021	0.021
2	0.051	0.053	0.021	0.021
4	0.048	0.051	0.021	0.021
7	0.043	0.049	0.021	0.021
14	0.034	0.043	0.020	0.021
21	0.027	0.039	0.018	0.021
28	0.022	0.036	0.017	0.021
42	0.014	0.033	0.014	0.020

## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP2x192 -D4 stream

Time after max. peak (d)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.702	-	0.014	-	
1	0.000	0.039	0.003	0.005	
2	0.000	0.020	0.002	0.003	
4	0.000	0.010	0.002	0.003	
7	0.002	0.006	0.001	0.002	
14	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.002	
21	0.000	0.004	0.001	0.001	
28	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.001	
42	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001	



### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP2x192 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.050	-	0.021	-
1	0.048	0.049	0.021	0.021
2	0.047	0.048	0.020	0.021
4	0.043	0.047	0.020	0.021
7	0.039	0.044	0.020	0.020
14	0.030	0.039	0.019	0.020
21	0.023	0.035	0.017	0.020
28	0.018	0.031	0.015	0.020
42	0.011	0.030	0.012	0.019

## Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP2x192 -R1 stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.601	-	0.025	-
1	0.000	0.129	0.009	0.015
2	0.000	0.065	0.007	0.011
4	0.000	0.032	0.005	0.009
7	0.000	0.019	0.004	0.007
14	0.000	0.010	0.003	0.005
21	0.000	0.007	0.002	0.004
28	0.000	0.010	0.002	0.004
42	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.004

### Step 3 results for Clethodim with GAP2x192 -R3 stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.846	-	0.043	-
1	0.002	0.297	0.018	0.031
2	0.000	0.149	0.014	0.024
4	0.000	0.075	0.011	0.018
7	0.000	0.049	0.011	0.016
14	0.000	0.024	0.007	0.012
21	0.000	0.016	0.006	0.010
28	0.000	0.023	0.004	0.009
42	0.000	0.015	0.003	0.009



### **STEP 4 CLETHODIM**

#### Step 4 results for Clethodim with GAP 300

Scenario	Relevant water body for sugar beet	PEC max Step3 (µg/L)	Buffer distance between crop and water body (m)	PEC max Step4 (µg/L)	PEC21 Step4 (μg/L)
D3 (Vreedepeel)	Ditch	1.571	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
D4 (Skousbo)	Pond	0.064	3.8	0.064	0.047
D4 Skousbo)	Stream	1.224	30	0.082	0.001
R1 Weiherbach)	Pond	0.064	3.8	0.064	0.047
R1 Weiherbach)	Stream	1.091	30	0.072	0.002
R3 (Bologna)	Stream	1.534	25	0.157	0.006

### Step 4 results for Clethodim with GAP 384

Scenario	Relevant water body for sugar beet	PEC max Step3 (µg/L)	Buffer distance between crop and water body (m)	PEC max Step4 (µg/L)	PEC21 Step4 (µg/L)
D3 (Vreedepeel)	Ditch	2.011	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
D4 (Skousbo)	Pond	0.081	3.8	0.081	0.060
D4 (Skousbo)	Stream	1.592	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
R1 Weiherbach)	Pond	0.081	3.8	0.080	0.060
R1 Weiherbach)	Stream	1.396	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
R3 (Bologna)	Stream	1.964	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

### Step 4 results for Clethodim with GAP 2x192

Scenario	Relevant water body for sugar beet	PEC max Step3 (µg/L)	Buffer distance between crop and water body (m)	PEC max Step4 (µg/L)	PEC21 Step4 (µg/L)
D3 (Vreedepeel)	Ditch	0.874	18	0.081	0.004
D4 (Skousbo)	Pond	0.055	3.8	0.055	0.035
D4 (Skousbo)	Stream	0.702	16	0.079	0.001
R1 (Weiherbach)	Pond	0.050	3.8	0.050	0.033
R1 (Weiherbach)	Stream	0.601	14	0.077	0.002
R3 (Bologna)	Stream	0.846	16	0.100	0.003



### **STEP 3 METABOLITES**

### **CLETHODIM SULFOXIDE – STEP3 results**

Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP300 - D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0002	-	0.0004	
1	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0004
2	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0004
4	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0004
7	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0004
14	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0004
21	0.0002	0.0002	*	0.0004
28	0.0002	0.0002	*	0.0004
42	0.0002	0.0002	*	0.0004

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP300 -D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.004	-	0.005	-	
1	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	
2	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	
4	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	
7	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	
14	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	
21	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.005	
28	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.005	
42	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.005	



### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP300 -D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0209	-	0.0085	-
1	0.0199	0.0207	0.0084	0.0084
2	0.0177	0.0203	0.0080	0.0084
4	0.0119	0.0188	0.0075	0.0083
7	0.0057	0.0160	0.0069	0.0080
14	0.0029	0.0107	0.0060	0.0075
21	0.0021	0.0081	0.0054	0.0070
28	0.0021	0.0066	0.0051	0.0066
42	0.0024	0.0052	0.0050	0.0061

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP300 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.618	-	0.278	-
1	0.603	0.611	0.278	0.278
2	0.589	0.604	0.278	0.278
4	0.562	0.590	0.277	0.278
7	0.525	0.571	0.274	0.278
14	0.442	0.528	0.264	0.277
21	0.370	0.488	0.251	0.275
28	0.310	0.451	0.235	0.272
42	0.217	0.389	0.204	0.265

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP300 -R1 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	8.3170	-	0.8410	-
1	0.1450	6.6710	0.3870	0.6690
2	0.0021	3.3540	0.2890	0.5330
4	0.0006	1.6770	0.2110	0.4020
7	0.0002	0.9590	0.1630	0.3130
14	0.0001	0.4790	0.1150	0.2270
21	0.0001	0.3200	0.0915	0.1860
28	0.0000	0.2400	0.0755	0.1610
42	0.0000	0.1600	0.0545	0.1290



## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP300 -R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (µg/kg dr	y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	8.696	-	0.638	-
1	0.015	4.953	0.294	0.515
2	0.002	2.492	0.216	0.402
4	0.749	1.247	0.213	0.299
7	0.000	0.768	0.134	0.243
14	0.000	0.384	0.093	0.178
21	0.000	0.256	0.073	0.146
28	0.000	0.192	0.060	0.127
42	0.000	0.128	0.044	0.102

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP384 -D3 ditch

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	y (μg/L) PEC <sub>sed</sub>		ry sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0003		0.0005	
1	0.0003	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005
2	0.0003	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005
4	0.0003	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005
7	0.0003	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005
14	0.0003	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005
21	0.0003	0.0003	*	0.0005
28	0.0003	0.0003	*	0.0005
42	0.0003	0.0003	*	0.0005

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP384 -D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.019	-	0.014	-
1	0.018	0.019	0.014	0.014
2	0.018	0.018	0.014	0.014
4	0.017	0.018	0.014	0.014
7	0.016	0.017	0.014	0.014
14	0.017	0.017	0.014	0.014
21	0.015	0.017	0.014	0.014
28	0.013	0.016	0.013	0.014
42	0.010	0.014	0.012	0.014



### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP384 -D4 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0275	-	0.0110	-
1	0.0262	0.0272	0.0108	0.0109
2	0.0233	0.0267	0.0104	0.0109
4	0.0156	0.0247	0.0097	0.0108
7	0.0075	0.0210	0.0089	0.0104
14	0.0039	0.0141	0.0078	0.0096
21	0.0027	0.0106	0.0070	0.0090
28	0.0027	0.0087	0.0066	0.0085
42	0.0031	0.0069	0.0064	0.0079

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP384 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	ry sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.791	-	0.353	-
1	0.772	0.781	0.353	0.353
2	0.753	0.772	0.353	0.353
4	0.719	0.755	0.351	0.353
7	0.672	0.730	0.348	0.353
14	0.566	0.676	0.335	0.351
21	0.474	0.624	0.318	0.349
28	0.397	0.578	0.298	0.346
42	0.277	0.498	0.258	0.337

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP384 -R1 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	10.643	-	1.069	-
1	0.186	8.537	0.492	0.850
2	0.003	4.292	0.367	0.677
4	0.001	2.147	0.268	0.511
7	0.000	1.227	0.207	0.398
14	0.000	0.614	0.146	0.288
21	0.000	0.409	0.116	0.236
28	0.000	0.307	0.096	0.204
42	0.000	0.205	0.069	0.163



## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP384 -R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	11.105		0.810	-
1	0.020	6.325	0.373	0.653
2	0.003	3.183	0.274	0.510
4	0.950	1.592	0.270	0.380
7	0.001	0.980	0.170	0.309
14	0.000	0.490	0.117	0.226
21	0.000	0.327	0.092	0.186
28	0.000	0.245	0.076	0.160
42	0.000	0.163	0.055	0.129

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP2x192 -D3 ditch

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0005		0.0009	
1	0.0005	0.0005	0.0009	0.0009
2	0.0005	0.0005	0.0009	0.0009
4	0.0005	0.0005	0.0009	0.0009
7	0.0005	0.0005	0.0009	0.0009
14	0.0005	0.0005	*	0.0009
21	0.0005	0.0005	*	0.0009
28	0.0005	0.0005	*	0.0009
42	0.0005	0.0005	*	0.0009

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP2x192 -D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.013	-	0.010	-
1	0.013	0.013	0.010	0.010
2	0.012	0.013	0.010	0.010
4	0.012	0.012	0.010	0.010
7	0.011	0.012	0.010	0.010
14	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.010
21	0.009	0.011	0.010	0.010
28	0.007	0.010	0.010	0.010
42	0.006	0.009	0.009	0.010



Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP2x192 -D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.013	-	0.007	-
1	0.013	0.013	0.007	0.007
2	0.012	0.013	0.007	0.007
4	0.008	0.012	0.006	0.007
7	0.005	0.011	0.006	0.007
14	0.003	0.007	0.006	0.006
21	0.002	0.006	0.005	0.006
28	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.006
42	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP2x192 -R1 Pond

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.428	-	0.233	-
1	0.418	0.423	0.233	0.233
2	0.408	0.418	0.233	0.233
4	0.390	0.409	0.232	0.233
7	0.364	0.395	0.230	0.233
14	0.354	0.367	0.221	0.232
21	0.296	0.353	0.210	0.230
28	0.289	0.341	0.197	0.227
42	0.203	0.309	0.171	0.221

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP2x192 -R1 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	5.3240	-	0.5450	-
1	0.0932	2.1470	0.2510	0.4330
2	0.0014	1.0740	0.1870	0.3460
4	0.0004	0.6140	0.1370	0.2610
7	0.0002	0.3070	0.1060	0.2030
14	0.0001	0.2050	0.0751	0.1470
21	0.0000	0.2560	0.0597	0.1240
28	0.0002	0.1710	0.1290	0.1330
42	0.0000	2.1470	0.0776	0.1220



Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfoxide with GAP2x192 -R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	5.5840	-	0.4150	-
1	0.0100	3.1810	0.1910	0.3350
2	0.0015	1.6010	0.1410	0.2610
4	0.4870	0.8010	0.1390	0.1950
7	0.0003	0.4940	0.0875	0.1590
14	0.0001	0.2470	0.0605	0.1160
21	0.0001	0.1650	0.0479	0.0956
28	0.0000	0.1240	0.0396	0.0827
42	0.0000	0.0825	0.0287	0.0665

#### **CLETHODIM SULFONE – STEP3 results**

Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP300 -D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dı	y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.004	-	0.008	-
1	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.008
2	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.008
4	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.008
7	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.008
14	0.004	0.004	*	0.008
21	0.004	0.004	*	0.008
28	0.004	0.004	*	0.008
42	0.004	0.004	*	0.008

Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP300 -D4 Pond

Time after max. peak (d)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.029	-	0.044	-
1	0.029	0.029	0.044	0.044
2	0.029	0.029	0.044	0.044
4	0.029	0.029	0.044	0.044
7	0.029	0.029	0.044	0.044
14	0.028	0.029	0.044	0.044
21	0.027	0.029	0.044	0.044
28	0.027	0.028	0.044	0.044
42	0.025	0.028	0.043	0.044



### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP300 -D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.023	-	0.021	-
1	0.022	0.022	0.021	0.021
2	0.021	0.022	0.021	0.021
4	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.021
7	0.018	0.020	0.020	0.021
14	0.014	0.019	0.020	0.021
21	0.011	0.019	0.020	0.021
28	0.010	0.018	0.020	0.021
42	0.017	0.018	0.019	0.020

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP300 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dı	ry sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.118	-	0.085	-
1	0.117	0.117	0.085	0.085
2	0.116	0.117	0.085	0.085
4	0.113	0.116	0.085	0.085
7	0.111	0.114	0.085	0.085
14	0.104	0.111	0.084	0.085
21	0.098	0.107	0.083	0.085
28	0.092	0.104	0.081	0.085
42	0.082	0.099	0.078	0.084

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP300 -R1 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	1.572	-	0.170	-	
1	0.028	1.261	0.079	0.135	
2	0.000	0.634	0.060	0.108	
4	0.000	0.317	0.043	0.082	
7	0.000	0.181	0.033	0.064	
14	0.000	0.091	0.024	0.046	
21	0.000	0.060	0.019	0.038	
28	0.000	0.045	0.016	0.033	
42	0.000	0.030	0.012	0.027	



## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP300 -R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	1.108		0.088	-	
1	0.002	0.632	0.041	0.071	
2	0.000	0.318	0.030	0.056	
4	0.219	0.159	0.040	0.041	
7	0.000	0.107	0.021	0.036	
14	0.000	0.054	0.014	0.027	
21	0.000	0.036	0.012	0.022	
28	0.000	0.027	0.010	0.019	
42	0.000	0.018	0.007	0.016	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP384-D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.006		0.010	
1	0.006	0.006	0.010	0.010
2	0.006	0.006	0.010	0.010
4	0.006	0.006	0.010	0.010
7	0.006	0.006	0.010	0.010
14	0.006	0.006	*	0.010
21	0.006	0.006	*	0.010
28	0.006	0.006	*	0.010
42	0.006	0.006	*	0.010

# Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP384–D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	ry sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.038	-	0.057	-
1	0.038	0.038	0.057	0.057
2	0.037	0.038	0.057	0.057
4	0.037	0.038	0.057	0.057
7	0.037	0.037	0.057	0.057
14	0.036	0.037	0.057	0.057
21	0.035	0.037	0.056	0.057
28	0.035	0.037	0.056	0.057
42	0.033	0.037	0.055	0.057



## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP384–D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.029	-	0.027	-
1	0.028	0.029	0.027	0.027
2	0.028	0.029	0.027	0.027
4	0.026	0.028	0.027	0.027
7	0.023	0.026	0.026	0.027
14	0.018	0.025	0.025	0.027
21	0.014	0.024	0.026	0.027
28	0.013	0.024	0.026	0.026
42	0.023	0.023	0.024	0.026

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP384-R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)	S <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.151	-	0.108	-
1	0.149	0.150	0.108	0.108
2	0.148	0.149	0.108	0.108
4	0.145	0.148	0.107	0.108
7	0.141	0.146	0.107	0.108
14	0.133	0.142	0.106	0.107
21	0.125	0.138	0.105	0.107
28	0.118	0.134	0.103	0.107
42	0.104	0.126	0.099	0.106

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP384–R1 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		y sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	2.012	-	0.216	-
1	0.036	1.615	0.100	0.172
2	0.000	0.812	0.075	0.137
4	0.000	0.406	0.055	0.104
7	0.000	0.232	0.042	0.081
14	0.000	0.116	0.030	0.059
21	0.000	0.077	0.024	0.048
28	0.000	0.058	0.020	0.042
42	0.000	0.039	0.015	0.034



## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP384–R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	1.414		0.111	-	
1	0.003	0.806	0.052	0.090	
2	0.000	0.406	0.038	0.070	
4	0.277	0.203	0.050	0.052	
7	0.000	0.136	0.027	0.045	
14	0.000	0.068	0.018	0.038	
21	0.000	0.046	0.015	0.028	
28	0.000	0.034	0.012	0.024	
42	0.000	0.023	0.009	0.020	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP2x192 -D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.008	-	0.013	-
1	0.008	0.008	*	0.013
2	0.008	0.008	*	0.013
4	0.008	0.008	*	0.013
7	0.008	0.008	*	0.013
14	0.008	0.008	*	0.013
21	0.008	0.008	*	0.013
28	0.008	0.008	*	0.013
42	0.008	0.008	*	0.013

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone in with GAP2x192 -D4 Pond

Time after max. peak (d)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.040	-	0.058	-
1	0.040	0.040	0.058	0.058
2	0.040	0.040	0.058	0.058
4	0.040	0.040	0.058	0.058
7	0.040	0.040	0.058	0.058
14	0.039	0.040	0.057	0.058
21	0.038	0.040	0.057	0.058
28	0.037	0.039	0.057	0.058
42	0.035	0.039	0.056	0.057



## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP2x192 -D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.026	-	0.024	-	
1	0.025	0.025	0.024	0.024	
2	0.024	0.025	0.024	0.024	
4	0.023	0.024	0.024	0.024	
7	0.021	0.023	0.024	0.024	
14	0.017	0.022	0.023	0.024	
21	0.014	0.022	0.024	0.024	
28	0.013	0.021	0.024	0.024	
42	0.020	0.020	0.023	0.024	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP2x192 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.084	-	0.073	-
1	0.083	0.084	0.073	0.073
2	0.082	0.083	0.073	0.073
4	0.081	0.083	0.073	0.073
7	0.079	0.082	0.073	0.073
14	0.074	0.079	0.072	0.073
21	0.070	0.077	0.071	0.073
28	0.080	0.077	0.070	0.073
42	0.073	0.077	0.067	0.072

## Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP2x192 -R1 Stream

Time after max. peak (d)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	1.677	-	0.155	-
1	0.001	0.934	0.069	0.117
2	0.000	0.467	0.054	0.093
4	0.000	0.234	0.042	0.072
7	0.000	0.134	0.034	0.058
14	0.000	0.067	0.026	0.044
21	0.000	0.046	0.023	0.038
28	0.000	0.062	0.019	0.033
42	0.000	0.043	0.014	0.031



### Step 3 results for Clethodim sulfone with GAP2x192 -R3 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.714	-	0.057	-
1	0.001	0.407	0.027	0.046
2	0.000	0.205	0.020	0.036
4	0.143	0.103	0.026	0.027
7	0.000	0.069	0.014	0.024
14	0.000	0.035	0.010	0.018
21	0.000	0.023	0.008	0.015
28	0.000	0.017	0.006	0.013
42	0.000	0.012	0.005	0.010

#### **CLETHODIM OXAZOLE SULFONE – STEP3 results**

#### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP300 -D3 ditch

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.0416		0.206	-	
1	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.206	
2	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.205	
4	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.205	
7	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.205	
14	0.0415	0.0416	*	0.205	
21	0.0414	0.0416	*	0.205	
28	0.0415	0.0416	*	0.204	
42	0.0416	0.0415	*	0.204	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP300 -D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.193	-	0.623	-
1	0.193	0.193	*	0.623
2	0.193	0.193	*	0.623
4	0.192	0.193	*	0.623
7	0.191	0.193	*	0.623
14	0.186	0.192	*	0.622
21	0.181	0.191	*	0.622
28	0.176	0.189	*	0.621
42	0.167	0.186	*	0.618



### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP300 -D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.124	-	0.228	-
1	0.108	0.114	0.227	0.228
2	0.104	0.111	0.227	0.228
4	0.100	0.106	0.225	0.227
7	0.102	0.104	0.223	0.227
14	0.0977	0.103	0.214	0.225
21	0.0757	0.100	0.206	0.223
28	0.0534	0.0950	0.199	0.220
42	0.0737	0.0801	0.188	0.214

## Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP300 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0421	-	0.0746	-
1	0.0415	0.0418	0.0746	0.0746
2	0.0411	0.0416	0.0746	0.0746
4	0.0402	0.0411	0.0746	0.0746
7	0.0391	0.0405	0.0746	0.0746
14	0.0369	0.0393	0.0744	0.0746
21	0.0348	0.0381	0.0741	0.0746
28	0.0331	0.0371	0.0737	0.0745
42	0.0297	0.0352	0.0728	0.0744

## Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP300 -R1 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.5750	-	0.1290	-
1	0.0115	0.4610	0.0664	0.1070
2	0.0003	0.2320	0.0511	0.0870
4	0.0001	0.1160	0.0390	0.0676
7	0.0000	0.0664	0.0310	0.0542
14	0.0000	0.0332	0.0229	0.0407
21	0.0000	0.0221	0.0191	0.0342
28	0.0000	0.0172	0.0178	0.0305
42	0.0000	0.0115	0.0147	0.0257



### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP300 -R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.6800	-	0.1070	-	
1	0.0021	0.3880	0.0517	0.0871	
2	0.0004	0.1960	0.0382	0.0689	
4	0.2090	0.0980	0.0610	0.0520	
7	0.0001	0.0714	0.0307	0.0478	
14	0.0000	0.0358	0.0209	0.0366	
21	0.0000	0.0238	0.0170	0.0308	
28	0.0000	0.0183	0.0157	0.0272	
42	0.0000	0.0122	0.0125	0.0229	

### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP384-D3 ditch

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0416	-	0.206	
1	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.206
2	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.205
4	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.205
7	0.0416	0.0416	*	0.205
14	0.0415	0.0416	*	0.205
21	0.0414	0.0416	*	0.205
28	0.0415	0.0416	*	0.204
42	0.0416	0.0415	*	0.204

## Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP384–D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.193	-	0.623	-
1	0.193	0.193	*	0.623
2	0.193	0.193	*	0.623
4	0.192	0.193	*	0.623
7	0.191	0.193	*	0.623
14	0.186	0.192	*	0.622
21	0.181	0.191	*	0.622
28	0.176	0.189	*	0.621
42	0.167	0.186	*	0.618



### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP384–D4 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.124	-	0.228	-
1	0.108	0.114	0.227	0.228
2	0.104	0.111	0.227	0.228
4	0.100	0.106	0.225	0.227
7	0.102	0.104	0.223	0.227
14	0.098	0.103	0.214	0.225
21	0.076	0.100	0.206	0.223
28	0.053	0.095	0.199	0.220
42	0.074	0.080	0.188	0.214

### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP384-R1 Pond

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0421	-	0.0746	-
1	0.0415	0.0418	0.0746	0.0746
2	0.0411	0.0416	0.0746	0.0746
4	0.0402	0.0411	0.0746	0.0746
7	0.0391	0.0405	0.0746	0.0746
14	0.0369	0.0393	0.0744	0.0746
21	0.0348	0.0381	0.0741	0.0746
28	0.0331	0.0371	0.0737	0.0745
42	0.0297	0.0352	0.0728	0.0744

## Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP384–R1 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.5750	-	0.1290	-
1	0.0115	0.4610	0.0664	0.1070
2	0.0003	0.2320	0.0511	0.0870
4	0.0001	0.1160	0.0390	0.0676
7	0.0000	0.0664	0.0310	0.0542
14	0.0000	0.0332	0.0229	0.0407
21	0.0000	0.0221	0.0191	0.0342
28	0.0000	0.0172	0.0178	0.0305
42	0.0000	0.0115	0.0147	0.0257



### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP384–R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.6800	-	0.1070	-
1	0.0021	0.3880	0.0517	0.0871
2	0.0004	0.1960	0.0382	0.0689
4	0.2090	0.0980	0.0610	0.0520
7	0.0001	0.0714	0.0307	0.0478
14	0.0000	0.0358	0.0209	0.0366
21	0.0000	0.0238	0.0170	0.0308
28	0.0000	0.0183	0.0157	0.0272
42	0.0000	0.0122	0.0125	0.0229

### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP2x192 -D3 ditch

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0568	-	0.270	-
1	0.0567	0.0567	*	0.269
2	0.0567	0.0567	*	0.269
4	0.0567	0.0567	*	0.269
7	0.0565	0.0567	*	0.269
14	0.0562	0.0567	*	0.269
21	0.0560	0.0566	*	0.268
28	0.0561	0.0566	*	0.268
42	*	0.0565	*	0.266

## Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP2x192 -D4 Pond

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.263	-	0.846	-
1	0.263	0.263	*	0.846
2	0.262	0.263	*	0.846
4	0.261	0.263	*	0.846
7	0.260	0.262	*	0.846
14	0.254	0.261	*	0.845
21	0.247	0.259	*	0.844
28	0.240	0.257	*	0.843
42	0.228	0.253	*	0.840



### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP2x192 -D4 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.164	-	0.311	-
1	0.144	0.152	0.311	0.311
2	0.139	0.147	0.310	0.311
4	0.134	0.141	0.308	0.311
7	0.135	0.138	0.305	0.310
14	0.129	0.137	0.294	0.308
21	0.102	0.133	0.285	0.305
28	0.0745	0.126	0.277	0.302
42	0.100	0.108	0.263	0.295

### Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP2x192 -R1 Pond

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0267	-	0.0597	-
1	0.0263	0.0265	0.0597	0.0597
2	0.0260	0.0263	0.0597	0.0597
4	0.0255	0.0260	0.0596	0.0597
7	0.0248	0.0256	0.0596	0.0597
14	0.0233	0.0248	0.0595	0.0596
21	0.0220	0.0241	0.0593	0.0596
28	0.0246	0.0242	0.0590	0.0596
42	0.0246	0.0240	0.0577	0.0595

## Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP2x192 -R1 Stream

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)	
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.438	-	0.0876	-
1	0.0003	0.292	0.0446	0.0692
2	0.0001	0.147	0.0359	0.0564
4	0.0000	0.0736	0.0290	0.0450
7	0.0000	0.0421	0.0245	0.0374
14	0.0000	0.0210	0.0196	0.0297
21	0.0000	0.0146	0.0216	0.0276
28	0.0000	0.0193	0.0181	0.0256
42	0.0000	0.0143	0.0149	0.0244



## Step 3 results for Clethodim oxazole sulfone with GAP2x192-R3 Stream

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.4350	-	0.0705	-
1	0.0014	0.2480	0.0347	0.0578
2	0.0003	0.1250	0.0258	0.0459
4	0.1370	0.0627	0.0410	0.0348
7	0.0001	0.0459	0.0208	0.0321
14	0.0000	0.0234	0.0143	0.0248
21	0.0000	0.0161	0.0116	0.0208
28	0.0000	0.0121	0.0108	0.0185
42	0.0000	0.0083	0.0086	0.0156

## **CLETHODIM IMINE – STEP3 results**

## Step 3 results for Clethodim imine with GAP300 -D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0001	-	0.0003	-
1	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003
2	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003
4	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003
7	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003
14	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003
21	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003
28	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003
42	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003

## Step 3 results for Clethodim imine with GAP300 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.285	-	0.628	-
1	0.277	0.281	0.628	0.628
2	0.270	0.277	0.627	0.628
4	0.258	0.271	0.627	0.628
7	0.243	0.262	0.625	0.627
14	0.211	0.245	0.617	0.627
21	0.184	0.229	0.607	0.625
28	0.166	0.216	0.599	0.623
42	0.135	0.194	0.579	0.618



## Step 3 results for Clethodim imine with GAP384-D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.0001	-	0.0004	-
1	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
2	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
4	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
7	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
14	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
21	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
28	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
42	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004

## Step 3 results for Clethodim imine with GAP384-R1 Pond

Time after max.	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	ry sediment)
	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.369	-	0.801	-
1	0.358	0.363	0.801	0.801
2	0.349	0.359	0.801	0.801
4	0.334	0.350	0.800	0.801
7	0.315	0.340	0.797	0.801
14	0.274	0.317	0.788	0.800
21	0.238	0.297	0.775	0.798
28	0.215	0.280	0.764	0.795
42	0.174	0.251	0.738	0.789

## Step 3 results for Clethodim imine with GAP2x192 -D4 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	Actual TWA		TWA
Global max	0.0001	-	0.0004	-
1	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
2	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
4	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
7	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
14	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
21	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
28	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004
42	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004



Step 3 results for Clethodim imine with GAP2x192 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		y sediment)
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
Global max	0.179	-	0.521	-
1	0.174	0.176	0.521	0.521
2	0.169	0.174	0.520	0.521
4	0.162	0.170	0.520	0.521
7	0.152	0.164	0.519	0.520
14	0.132	0.154	0.514	0.520
21	0.115	0.144	0.508	0.519
28	0.126	0.140	0.502	0.517
42	0.134	0.132	0.487	0.515

## **CLETHODIM IMINE SULFOXIDE - STEP3 results**

Step 3 results for Clethodim imine sulfoxide with GAP300 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dr	PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA		
Global max	0.0001	-	0.0001	-		
1	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
2	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
4	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
7	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
14	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
21	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
28	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
42	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		

Step 3 results for Clethodim imine sulfoxide with GAP384-R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.0001	-	0.0001	-	
1	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
2	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
4	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
7	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
14	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
21	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
28	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
42	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	



#### Step 3 results for Clethodim imine sulfoxide with GAP2x192 -R1 Pond

	PEC <sub>sw</sub> (μg/L)		PEC <sub>sed</sub> (μg/kg dry sediment)		
Time after max. peak (d)	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Global max	0.0001		0.0001		
1	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
2	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
4	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
7	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
14	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
21	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
28	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
42	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	

#### PEC (sediment)

See tables above point 1.5.8

#### PEC (ground water) (Annex IIIA, point 9.2.1)

Method of calculation and type of study (*e.g.* modelling, monitoring, lysimeter)

Modelling by FOCUS PEARL 3.3.3 and FOCUS PELMO 3.3.2, calculations, locations: Châteaudun, Hamburg; Jokioinen, Kremsmünster, Okehampton, Piacenza, Porto, Sevilla, and Thiva.

#### For FOCUS PECgw modelling

Geometric mean DT<sub>50lab</sub> (20°C, aerobic, moisture corrected):

clethodim: 0.56 d (the correct values to use would be 0.66 d) clethodim sulfoxide: 7.01 d and mean ff 87.45% (the correct values to use would be 13.89 d and mean ff 43.54) clethodim sulfone: 7.97 d and mean ff 87.28% (the correct

values to use would be 13.89 d and mean ff 43.54)

clethodim oxazole sulfone: 32 d and mean ff 16.95%

#### Koc and 1/n used for FOCUS PECgw modeling:

Arithmetic mean Koc (L/kg) / 1/n

Clethodim: 4 (conservative value agreed in PRAPeR 78) / 0.975

Clethodim sulfoxide: 13 / 0.83 Clethodim sulfone: 11 / 0.79 Clethodim oxazole sulfone: 51/ 1.03

Plant uptake factor: 0.5 (for metabolites a plant uptake factor of 0 should have been used; however, it is expected not to have substantial impact on the groundwater modelling results).

Crop: sugar beet

192~g a.s./ha about BBCH 12-39 (GAP 240 with 20 %

foliar interception)

115.2 g a.s./ha at BBCH 31-39 (GAP 384 with 70 %

foliar interception)

Application rate



2 x 153.6 g a.s./ha at BBCH 11-39 with a 21-day interval (GAP 2x192 with 20 % foliar interception)

**Data gap** identified in PRAPeR 78 for a groundwater assessment for the two soil photolysis metabolites 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino]butanoic acid and *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid.

## PEC<sub>(gw)</sub>

Maximum concentration

FOCUS PELMO 3.3.2

80<sup>th</sup> percentile annual average:

Clethodim: 0.000 µg/L

Clethodim sulfoxide: 0.021 µg/L Clethodim sulfone: 0.113 µg/L

Clethodim oxazole sulfone: 0.356 µg/L

PEARL 3.3.3

80<sup>th</sup> percentile annual average:

Clethodim: 0.000 µg/L

Clethodim sulfoxide:  $0.5663 \mu g/L$  Clethodim sulfone:  $1.0905 \mu g/L$ 

Clethodim oxazole sulfone: 0.5264 µg/L

FOCUSPELMO 3.3.2 results: 80<sup>th</sup> percentile annual

average

PELMO - PECgw (μg/L) GAP 240 BBCH 12-39						
	Scenario	Clethodim	Clethodim sulfoxide	Clethodim sulfone	Clethodim oxazole sulfone	
	Châteaudun	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.165	
	Hamburg	0.000	0.001	0.023	0.225	
"Northern Europe"	Jokioinen	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.130	
Lurope	Kremsmünster	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.139	
	Okehampton	0.000	0.001	0.048	0.199	
	Piacenza	0.000	0.003	0.039	0.124	
"Southern Europe"	Porto	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008	
	Sevilla	0.000	0.009	0.005	0.028	
	Thiva	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	



PELMO - PECgw (μg/L) GAP 192 BBCH 11-39						
	Scenario	Clethodim	Clethodim sulfoxide	Clethodim sulfone	Clethodim oxazole sulfone	
	Châteaudun	0.000	0.001	0.048	0.266	
	Hamburg	0.000	0.001	0.061	0.356	
"Northern Europe"	Jokioinen	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.207	
Europe	Kremsmünster	0.000	0.000	0.031	0.250	
	Okehampton	0.000	0.003	0.113	0.328	
	Piacenza	0.000	0.007	0.092	0.204	
"Southern	Porto	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.011	
Europe"	Sevilla	0.000	0.021	0.050	0.102	
	Thiva	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.015	

PELMO - PECgw (μg/L) GAP 384 BBCH 31-39								
	Scenario Clethodim Clethodim sulfoxide Clethodim sulfone oxazole su							
	Châteaudun	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.046			
	Hamburg	0.000	0.001	0.012	0.116			
"Northern Europe"	Jokioinen	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.030			
Burope	Kremsmünster	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.074			
	Okehampton	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.091			
	Piacenza	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.049			
"Southern	Porto	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001			
Europe"	Sevilla	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004			
	Thiva	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002			

PEARL 3.3.3 results:  $80^{th}$  percentile annual average

PEARL - PECgw (μg/L) GAP 240 BBCH 12-39									
	Scenario Clethodim Clethodim sulfoxide Sulfone Clethodim oxazole sulfone								
	Châteaudun	0.0000	0.0082	0.1777	0.3435				
	Hamburg	0.0000	0.0033	0.1003	0.3124				
"Northern Europe"	Jokioinen	0.0000	0.0102	0.1227	0.3037				
Бигоре	Kremsmünster	0.0000	0.0076	0.1233	0.2790				
	Okehampton	0.0000	0.0075	0.1295	0.2703				
	Piacenza	0.0000	0.0250	0.2044	0.2239				
"Southern Europe"	Porto	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0253				
	Sevilla	0.0000	0.1745	0.1804	0.1825				
	Thiva	0.0000	0.0002	0.0203	0.1471				



PEARL - PECgw (μg/L) GAP 192 BBCH 11-39							
	Scenario	Clethodim	Clethodim sulfoxide	Clethodim sulfone	Clethodim oxazole sulfone		
	Châteaudun	0.0000	0.0158	0.3252	0.5264		
	Hamburg	0.0000	0.0103	0.2636	0.5033		
"Northern Europe"	Jokioinen	0.0000	0.0034	0.2171	0.4927		
Europe	Kremsmünster	0.0000	0.0127	0.2396	0.4367		
	Okehampton	0.0000	0.0145	0.2910	0.4155		
	Piacenza	0.0000	0.0597	0.3316	0.3873		
"Southern	Porto	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0437		
Europe"	Sevilla	0.0000	0.5663	1.0905	0.4048		
	Thiva	0.0000	0.0007	0.0505	0.2499		

## PEARL - PECgw (µg/L) GAP 384 BBCH 31-39

	Scenario	Clethodim	Clethodim sulfoxide	Clethodim sulfone	Clethodim oxazole sulfone
	Châteaudun	0.0000	0.0085	0.1436	0.2305
	Hamburg	0.0000	0.0135	0.1867	0.2490
"Northern Europe"	Jokioinen	0.0000	0.0066	0.0970	0.2200
Lurope	Kremsmünster	0.0000	0.0061	0.0988	0.1997
	Okehampton	0.0000	0.0061	0.0802	0.1974
	Piacenza	0.0000	0.0081	0.0855	0.1582
"Southern	Porto	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0129
Europe"	Sevilla	0.0000	0.0014	0.0112	0.0737
	Thiva	0.0000	0.0018	0.0502	0.1506

## Fate and behaviour in air (Annex IIA, point 7.2.2, Annex III, point 9.3)

NA
No data
Latitude: no data Season: no data
DT <sub>50</sub> : Atkinson calculation
for reaction with OH-radical: 0.827 h [Cis-isomer], 0.818 h [Trans-isomer], (1.5x10 <sup>6</sup> OH/cm <sup>3</sup> )
for reaction with ozone: 22.566 h [Cis-isomer], 21.154 h [trans-isomer], (7x10 <sup>11</sup> mol/cm <sup>3</sup> )
from plant surfaces: NA
from soil: NA



#### PEC (air)

Method of calculation

Not calculated. Taking into consideration the very low vapour pressure of clethodim: 2.1 10<sup>-6</sup> Pa at 20°C, and the Henry's law constant 1.40 10<sup>-7</sup> (Pa.m³/mol) at 20°C, respectively, contamination of the air is very unlikely to occur.

For the metabolites the following vapour pressures are available (EPIWIN):

Clethodim sulfoxide: 2.14E-12 mmHg Clethodim sulfone: 8.24E-13 mmHg Imine sulfoxide: 9.69E-11 mmHg Oxazole: 6.24E-06 mmHg Oxazole sulfoxide: 3.38E-07 mmHg Oxazole sulfone: 1.49E-07 mmHg

As these values are considered to be low, none of the identified metabolite of clethodim was considered relevant for air and no  $PEC_{air}$  was calculated.

PEC<sub>(a)</sub>

Maximum concentration

Not calculated.

#### **Residues requiring further assessment** (Annex IIA, point 7.3)

Environmental occurring metabolite requiring further assessment by other disciplines (toxicology and ecotoxicology) or for which a groundwater exposure assessment is triggered

#### Soil:

clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, clethodim oxazole sulfone, 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino] butanoic acid (soil photolysis), *trans*-3-chloroacrylic acid (soil photolysis)

## **Ground water:**

provisionally clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, clethodim oxazole sulfone, 2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino] butanoic acid, trans-3-chloroacrylic acid; however, a data gap was identified for the characterization of the chromatographic peak M20 found in a soil metabolism study in order to support the exclusion of the content of this peak from the overall quantification of metabolite clethodim oxazole sulfoxide. In case that this fraction is part (one of the isomers) of clethodim oxazole sulfoxide and the quantitative determination makes the metabolite occurring more than 5% at 2 consecutive time points, then a groundwater assessment would be needed for clethodim oxazole sulfoxide.

#### Surface water:

clethodim, clethodim sulfoxide, clethodim sulfone, clethodim oxazole sulfone

#### **Sediment:**

clethodim, clethodim oxazole sulfone, clethodim imine sulfoxide, clethodim imine

#### Air:

clethodim



## Monitoring data, if available (Annex IIA, point 7.4)

Soil (indicate location and type of study)
Surface water (indicate location and type of study)
Ground water (indicate location and type of study)
Air (indicate location and type of study)

No data		
No data		
No data		
No data		

## Points pertinent to the classification and proposed labelling with regard to fate and behaviour data



#### **Ecotoxicology**

## Effects on terrestrial vertebrates (Annex IIA, point 8.1, Annex IIIA, points 10.1 and 10.3)

Acute toxicity to birds
Dietary toxicity to birds
Reproductive toxicity to birds
Acute toxicity to mammals
Reproductive toxicity to mammals

LD <sub>50</sub> >1640 mg/kg bw (bobwhite quail)
LC <sub>50</sub> >851 mg/kg bw/day (mallard duck)
NOEL 17 mg/kg bw/day (bobwhite quail)
LD <sub>50</sub> 1133 g/kg bw (rat)
NOEL 16 mg/kg bw/day (rat, 2-year chronic toxicity and
carcinogenicity study)

#### Toxicity/exposure ratios for terrestrial vertebrates (Annex IIIA, points 10.1 and 10.3)

#### Clethodim

Toxicity/exposure ratios for birds (Annex IIIA, points 10.3)

Bird of 300 g bw, DFI 228 g/d (leafy crops)

Bird of 10 g bw, DFI 10.4 g/d, DWI 2.6 mL/d (insects, drinking water)

Bird of 100 g bw, DFI 113 g/d (earthworms)

Bird of 1000 g bw, DFI 206 g/d (fish)

NOEL 17 mg/kg bw/day

Assessment in agreement with Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC (Working Document Sanco/4145/2000; European Commission, 2002).

Application	Crop	Category	Time-scale	route	TER	Annex
rate		(e.g. insectivorous				VI
(kg as/ha)		bird)				Trigger
0.384	Sugar beet	herbivorous bird	acute	leafy crops	>65	10
0.384	Sugar beet	insectivorous bird	acute	insects	>79	10
0.300	Sugar beet	insectivorous bird	acute	water	>20	10
0.384	Sugar beet	herbivorous bird	short-term	leafy crops	>73	10
0.384	Sugar beet	insectivorous bird	short-term	insects	>73	10
0.384	Sugar beet	herbivorous bird	long-term	leafy crops	2.7	5
0.300	Sugar beet	herbivorous bird	long-term	leafy crops	3.5	5
2x0.192	Sugar beet	herbivorous bird	long-term	leafy crops	3.9	5
0.384	Sugar beet	herbivorous bird	long-term	leafy crops	7.3 <sup>(A)</sup>	5
0.384	Sugar beet	insectivorous bird	long-term	insects	1.5	5
0.3	Sugar beet	insectivorous bird	long-term	insects	1.9	5
2 x 0.192	Sugar beet	insectivorous bird	long-term	insects	2.9	5
0.384	Sugar beet	insectivorous bird	long-term	insects	4.7 <sup>(B)</sup>	5
0.384	Sugar beet	piscivorous bird	long-term	fish	403	5
0.384	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	2.4 <sup>(C)</sup>	5
soil pH 4.5						
K <sub>oc</sub> 41.5 L/kg						
0.300	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	3.1 <sup>(C)</sup>	5
soil pH 4.5						
K <sub>oc</sub> 41.5 L/kg						
2x0.192	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	4.5 <sup>(C)</sup>	5
soil pH 4.5						
$K_{oc}$ 41.5 L/kg					(C)	
0.384	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	24 <sup>(C)</sup>	5
soil pH 5.5						
K <sub>oc</sub> 41.5 L/kg					(C)	
0.384	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	23 <sup>(C)</sup>	5
soil pH 6.5						
$K_{oc} 4 L/kg$						

<sup>(</sup>A) Refined TER value, based on a DT<sub>50</sub> of 3 days and a resulting refined ftwa and MAF of 0.20 and 1.04, respectively.



(B) Refined TER value, based on PD and PT refinement using literature data for the yellow wagtail (PD of 0.764 and 0.236 for large and small insects, respectively).

(C) TER values taking into account pH dependent Koc (in BCFworm) and non-dissociated clethodim fraction at pH > pKa (see addendum)

#### Metabolites

Toxicity/exposure ratios for birds (Annex IIIA, points 10.3)

Bird of 300 g bw, DFI 228 g/d (leafy crops)

Bird of 100 g bw, DFI 113 g/d (earthworms)

Bird of 1000 g bw, DFI 206 g/d (fish)

Assessment in agreement with Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC (Working Document Sanco/4145/2000, European Commission, 2002).

Application	Crop	Category	Time-scale	route	TER	Annex
rate		(e.g. insectivorous				VI
(kg as/ha)		bird)				Trigger
Clethodim su	lfoxide (based on	toxicity value from paren	nt clethodim)			
0.384	Sugar beet	herbivorous bird	long-term	leafy crops	17	5
0.384	Sugar beet	piscivorous bird	long-term	fish	95	5
0.384	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	14	5
0.3	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	17	5
Clethodim su	<mark>lfone</mark> (based on to	oxicity value from parent	clethodim)			
0.384	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	>25	5
Clethodim oxazole sulfone (based on toxicity value from parent clethodim)						
0.384	Sugar beet	bird	long-term	earthworms	1367	5

#### Clethodim

Toxicity/exposure ratios for mammals (Annex IIIA, points 10.3)

Mammal of 3000 g bw, DFI 832 g/d (leafy crops)

Mammal of 10 g bw, DFI 14 g/d, DWI 1.6 mL/d (drinking water, earthworms)

Mammal of 3000 g bw, DFI 390 g/d (fish)

NOEL: 16 mg a.s./kg bw/d

Assessment in agreement with Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC (Working Document Sanco/4145/2000, European Commission, 2002).

Application	Crop	Category	Time-scale	Route	TER	Annex VI
rate		(e.g. insectivorous				Trigger
(kg as/ha)		bird)				
0.384	sugar beet	herbivorous mammal	acute	leafy crops	122	10
0.300	sugar beet	small mammal	acute	water	24	10
0.384	sugar beet	herbivorous mammal	long-term	leafy crops	7.1	5
0.384	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	fish	601	5
0.384	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	1.7 <sup>(A)</sup>	5
soil pH 4.5						
$K_{oc}$ 41.5						
L/kg						
0.300	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	2.3 <sup>(A)</sup>	5
soil pH 4.5						
$K_{oc}$ 41.5						
L/kg						
2x0.195	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	3.3 <sup>(A)</sup>	5
soil pH 4.5						
$K_{oc}$ 41.5						
L/kg						
0.384	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	17 <sup>(A)</sup>	5
soil pH 5.5	_		_			



K <sub>oc</sub> 41.5 L/kg						
0.384	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	17 <sup>(A)</sup>	5
soil pH 6.5 K <sub>oc</sub> 4 L/kg						

<sup>(</sup>A) TER values taking into account pH dependent Koc (in BCFworm) and non-dissociated clethodim fraction at pH > pKa (see addendum)

## **Metabolites**

Toxicity/exposure ratios for mammals (Annex IIIA, points 10.3)

Mammal of 3000 g bw, DFI 832 g/d (leafy crops)

Mammal of 10 g bw, DFI 14 g/d, DWI 1.6 mL/d (drinking water, earthworms)

Mammal of 3000 g bw, DFI 390 g/d (fish)

Assessment in agreement with Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals Under Council Directive 91/414/EEC (Working Document Sanco/4145/2000, European Commission, 2002).

			, 1						
Application	Crop	Category	Time-scale	Route	TER	Annex VI			
rate		(e.g. insectivorous				Trigger			
(kg as/ha)		bird)							
Clethodim sulfoxide (based on toxicity value from parent clethodim)									
0.384	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	10	5			
0.3	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	13	5			
Clethodim sulfone (based on toxicity value from parent clethodim)									
0.384	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	>24	5			
Clethodim oxazole sulfone (based on toxicity value from parent clethodim)									
0.384	sugar beet	mammal	long-term	earthworms	1333	5			

# **Toxicity data for aquatic species (most sensitive species of each group)** (Annex IIA, point 8.2, Annex IIIA, point 10.2)

Group	Test substance*	Time-	Endpoint	Toxicity
		scale		(mg
				a.s./l)**
Laboratory tests				
Salmo gairdneri	clethodim	96 h	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	25 <sup>(A)</sup>
Oncorhynchis mykiss		21 d	NOEC	3.9 <sup>(A)</sup>
Daphnia magna		48 h	Immobility, EC <sub>50</sub>	>100 <sup>(B)</sup>
Daphnia magna		21 d	Reproduction, NOEC	49 <sup>(B)</sup>
Selenastrum capricornutum		72 h	Biomass, growth rate, EC <sub>50</sub>	>12 <sup>(A)</sup>
Lemna gibba		14 d	Fronds, EC <sub>50</sub>	1.9 <sup>(C)</sup>
Oncorhynchus mykiss	Select 240 EC	96 h	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	3.4 <sup>(B)</sup>
Oncorhynchus mykiss	Select + oily adjuvant	21 d	NOEC	0.29 (A)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	TM-20016	21 d	NOEC	1.1 <sup>(B)</sup>
	Select 2 EC	48 h	Immobility, EC <sub>50</sub>	5.1 <sup>(A)</sup>
Daphnia magna				
Daphnia magna	Select + oily adjuvant	21 d	Reproduction, NOEC	0.00084 (B)
Daphnia magna	TM-20016	21 d	Reproduction, NOEC	0.51 <sup>(B)</sup>
Scenedesmus subspicatus	Select + oily adjuvant	72 h	Biomass, growth rate, EC <sub>50</sub>	1.5 <sup>(A)</sup>
Scenedesmus subspicatus	Select 2 EC	72 h	growth rate, EC <sub>50</sub>	3.2 <sup>(A)</sup>
Lemna gibba	Select 240 EC + oily	14 d	Fronds, EC <sub>50</sub>	4.52 <sup>(B)</sup>
	adjuvant			
Lemna gibba	Select 2 EC	14 d	Fronds, EC <sub>50</sub>	69 <sup>(A)</sup>
Desmodesmus subspicatus	clethodim sulfoxide	72 h	Biomass, EC <sub>50</sub>	>100 <sup>(B)</sup>
Lemna gibba	clethodim sulfoxide	7 d	Biomass, EC <sub>50</sub>	88 <sup>(B)</sup>
Oncorhynchis mykiss	clethodim sulfoxide	96 h	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	> 100 <sup>(B)</sup>
Chironomus riparius	clethodim imine	28 d	Emergence, NOEC	10 <sup>(D)</sup>



#### Microcosm or mesocosm tests

Not available

Select 240 = 240 g clethodim/L. Select and Select 2EC = 256 g clethodim/L. TM-20016 is a 240 g/L clethodim formulation without oily adjuvant.

- \*\*endpoint given in bold are used in risk assessment. Since the formulation is more toxic than the active substance by one order of magnitude or more (except for *L. gibba*), the data on the product should be used for risk assessment. This is in line with section 2.5.3 from the aquatic guidance document. Furthermore, endpoints from the formulation studies including the oily adjuvant should be used, since the endpoints with Select with the oily adjuvant are worst case and the GAP as submitted with the dossier contained applications with oily adjuvant only. However, formulated clethodim could also be used without oily adjuvant. Selection of the relevant endpoint should therefore be taken at Member State level, depending on the proposed use.
- (A) Based on mean measured concentrations.
- (B) Based on analytically confirmed nominal concentrations.
- (C) Based on nominal concentrations (analytically confirmed for initial concentrations).
- (D) Based on measured initial concentrations.

## Toxicity/exposure ratios for the most sensitive aquatic organisms (Annex IIIA, point 10.2)

#### Focus step 1

Crop: sugar beet

Application	Organism	Test substance	Time-scale	Distance	TER	Annex VI
rate				(m)		Trigger
(kg as/ha)						
0.384	fish	Select 240 EC	96 hours	1	26	100
0.384	Daphnia	Select 2 EC	48 hours	1	39	100
0.384	algae	Select+ oily	72 hours	1	11	10
		adjuvant				
0.3	fish	Select 240 EC	96 hours	1	33	100
0.3	Daphnia	Select 2 EC	48 hours	1	50	100
0.3	algae	Select+ oily	72 hours	1	15	10
		adjuvant				
0.384	Lemna	clethodim	14 days	1	14	10
0.384	fish	Select+ oily	21 days	1	2	10
		adjuvant				
0.384	Daphnia	Select+ oily	21 days	1	6E-03	10
		adjuvant				
0.3	Lemna	clethodim	21 days	1	18	10
0.3	fish	Select+ oily	21 days	1	3	10
		adjuvant				
0.3	Daphnia	Select+ oily	21 days	1	8E-03	10
	1	adjuvant				

## Focus step 2

Crop: sugar beet

Application	Organism	Test substance	Time-scale	Distance	TER	Annex VI
rate				(m)		Trigger
(kg as/ha)						
0.384	fish	Select 240 EC	96 hours	1	445	100
0.384	Daphnia	Select 2 EC	48 hours	1	668	100
0.3	fish	Select 240 EC	96 hours	1	809	100
0.3	Daphnia	Select 2 EC	48 hours	1	1213	100
0.384	fish	Select+ oily	21 days	1	38	10
		adjuvant				
0.384	Daphnia	Select+ oily	21 days	1	0.11	10
		adjuvant				

<sup>\*</sup> Formulations Select and Select 2 EC are identical, but differ from Select 240 EC in solvent content.



0.3	fish	Select+ oily adjuvant	21 days	1	69	10
0.3	Daphnia	Select+ oily adjuvant	21 days	1	0.20	10

## Focus steps 3 and 4

Crop: sugar beet, treatment: 300 g a.s./ha (N-EU), NOEC Daphnia 0.84 µg a.s./L (Select 240 + oily adjuvant)

Scenario	Relevant water body for sugar beet	PEC max Step3 (µg/L)	TER	Buffer distance between crop and water body (m)	PEC max Step4 (µg/L)	TER
D3 (Vreedepeel)	Ditch	1.57	0.53	30	n.a.	n.a.
D4 (Skousbo)	Pond	0.064	13.1	3.8	0.064	13.1
D4 (Skousbo)	Stream	1.244	0.68	30	0.082	10.2
R1 Weiherbach)	Pond	0.064	13.1	3.8	0.064	13.1
R1 Weiherbach)	Stream	1.091	0.77	30	0.072	11.7
R3 (Bologna)	Stream	1.534	0.55	25	0.157	5.35
				30	n.a	n.a

## Focus steps 3 and 4

Crop: sugar beet, treatment: 384 g a.s./ha (S-EU), NOEC Daphnia 0.84 µg a.s./L (Select 240 + oily adjuvant)

Scenario	Relevant water body for sugar beet	PEC max Step3 (µg/L)	TER	Buffer distance between crop and water body (m)	PEC max Step4 (µg/L)	TER
D3 (Vreedepeel)	Ditch	2.011	0.42	30	n.a.	n.a.
D4 (Skousbo)	Pond	0.081	10.4	3.8	0.081	10.4
D4 (Skousbo)	Stream	1.592	0.53	30	n.a.	n.a.
R1 Weiherbach)	Pond	0.081	10.4	3.8	0.080	10.5
R1 Weiherbach)	Stream	1.396	0.60	30	n.a.	n.a.
R3 (Bologna)	Stream	1.964	0.43	30	n.a.	n.a.



#### Focus steps 3 and 4

Crop: sugar beet, treatment: 2 x 192 g a.s./ha (S-EU), NOEC Daphnia 0.84 µg a.s./L (Select 240 + oily adjuvant)

Scenario	Relevant water body for sugar beet	PEC max Step3 (µg/L)	TER	Buffer distance between crop and water body (m)	PEC max Step4 (µg/L)	TER
D3 (Vreedepeel)	Ditch	0.874	0.96	18	0.081	10.4
D4 (Skousbo)	Pond	0.055	15.3	3.8	0.055	15.3
D4 (Skousbo)	Stream	0.702	1.19	16	0.079	10.6
R1 Weiherbach)	Pond	0.050	16.8	3.8	0.050	16.8
R1 Weiherbach)	Stream	0.601	1.40	14	0.077	10.9
R3 (Bologna)	Stream	0.846	0.99	16	0.100	8.4
				18	n.a	n.a

For the other GAP table uses (1x240 g a.s./ha, 1x180 g a.s./ha, 1x192 g a.s./ha), TER calculations were not available. However, based on EFSA's assessment, a low risk can be identified for all step 4 FOCUS scenarios, provided the application of mitigation measures comparable to no-spray buffer zones up to 25 - 30m.

#### **Bioconcentration**

Bioconcentration factor (BCF)

Annex VI Trigger for the bioconcentration factor Clearance time  $(CT_{50})$ 

 $(CT_{90})$ 

Level of residues (%) in organisms after the 14 day depuration phase

Clethodim: 2.1						
1000 for readily biodegradable compounds						
4.9 d (allyl-label) and 0.23 d (ring-label)						
16 d (allyl-ring) and 0.76 d (ring-label)						
≤ 30% after 14 d depuration						
•						

Effects on honeybees (Annex IIA, point 8.3.1, Annex IIIA, point 10.4)

Acute oral toxicity

Acute contact toxicity

LD <sub>50</sub> >43 μg a.s./bee (Select 240 EC)
LD <sub>50</sub> 55 μg a.s./bee (Select + adjuvant)
LD <sub>50</sub> >51 μg a.s./bee (Select 240 EC)
LD <sub>50</sub> 68 μg a.s./bee (Select + adjuvant)

## Hazard quotients for honey bees (Annex IIIA, point 10.4)

Application rate (g as/ha)	Crop	Route	Hazard quotient	Annex VI Trigger				
Laboratory tests								
384	Sugar beet	oral	<8.9	50				
		contact	<7.5	50				

Field or semi-field tests	l l
Field or semi-field tests	<b>,</b>
Not required	l l
Not required	<b>,</b>



## Effects on other arthropod species (Annex IIA, point 8.3.2, Annex IIIA, point 10.5) ‡

Species	Test type and exposure scenario	Test Substance	Dose (g as/ha)	Endpoint	Adverse effect (%)* or L(E)R <sub>50</sub> (g a.s./ha)	Annex VI /Escort II Trigger
Laboratory tests	3					
Aphidius rhopalosiphi	Laboratory, sprayed plants	Select + Adjuvant	9.6 240	mortality/repr oduction	0/5 0/5	50%
				LR <sub>50</sub> , ER <sub>50</sub>	>240 g a.s./ha	
Typhlodromus pyri	Extended laboratory <sup>(A)</sup>	Select + Adjuvant	9.6	mortality/repr oduction	82 / 51	50%
				LR <sub>50</sub> , ER <sub>50</sub>	<9.6 g a.s./ha	
Typhlodromus pyri	Extended laboratory <sup>(A)</sup>	Select + Adjuvant	0.6 1.2 2.4 4.8 9.6	mortality/repr oduction	1 / 10 4 / 0.2 16 / 14 73 / 33 100 / n.a. (B)	50%
				LR <sub>50</sub> ER <sub>50</sub>	3.6 g a.s./ha >4.8 g a.s./ha	
Typhlodromus pyri	Extended laboratory	Select 240 EC	0, 11 and 384	Fresh residues:	LR <sub>50</sub> <384 ER <sub>50</sub> >11	50%
				4, 7 & 14 d aged:	LR <sub>50</sub> >384 ER <sub>50</sub> >384	
Poecilus cupreus	Laboratory, sand	Select + Adjuvant	256	mortality /food consumption LR <sub>50</sub>	3.3 / +3.1 >256 g a.s./ha	50%
Poecilus cupreus	Laboratory, sand	Select 240 EC	221	mortality /food consumption LR <sub>50</sub>	3.4 / 10 >221 g a.s./ha	50%
Aleochara bilineata	Laboratory, sand	Select 240 EC	259	parasitic capacity	2.6	50%
				ER <sub>50</sub>	>259 g a.s./ha	
Aleochara bilineata	Laboratory, natural soil	Select 240 EC + Adjuvant	386	parasitic capacity	1.9	50%
Chrysoperla carnea	Extended laboratory (C)	Select 240 + Adjuvant	384	ER <sub>50</sub> mortality/repr oduction	>386 g a.s./ha 2.2 / 19	50%
				LR <sub>50</sub> , ER <sub>50</sub>	>384 g a.s./ha	

<sup>\*</sup> Effects are adverse effects, i.e. X% effect on mortality means X% more mortality and Y% effect on reproduction means Y% less reproduction compared to control. When effects are favourable for the test organisms, a + sign is used for the sublethal effect percentages and a - sign for mortality effect percentages.

Field or semi-field tests		
Not provided		

<sup>(</sup>A) Exposure to dry residues on laboratory treated *Phaseolus vulgaris* leaves.

<sup>(</sup>B) n.a. = not applicable (insufficient survivors from initial phase to assess reproduction).

<sup>(</sup>C) Exposure to dry residues in conjunction with esterified rape seed oil (1.0 L/ha) on laboratory treated apple leaves.



#### Effects on earthworms (Annex IIA, point 8.4, Annex IIIA, point 10.6)

Acute toxicity  $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{clethodim sulfoxide} \\ LC_{50} > 1000 \text{ mg/kg } (500 \text{ mg a.s./kg}^{(A)}) \\ \textbf{Select 240 EC} \\ LC_{50} \ 129 \text{ mg a.s./kg } (65 \text{ mg a.s./kg}^{(A)}) \\ \\ \textbf{Reproductive toxicity} \\ \textbf{clethodim oxazole sulfone} \\ \textbf{NOEC } 10 \text{ mg/kg } (5 \text{ mg a.s./kg}^{(A)}) \\ \end{array}$ 

(A) corrected for organic content of OECD 207 substrate

#### Toxicity/exposure ratios for earthworms (Annex IIIA, point 10.6)

Application rate (kg a.s./ha)	Crop	Time-scale	TER	Annex VI Trigger
	Sugar beet	acute	250*	10

<sup>(\*)</sup> TER based on the highest PEC soil of 0.256 mg a.s/kg for the representative use of 240 g a.s./ha for which 20 % crop interception was assumed (application at BBCH 12-39 in sugar beet)

## Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IIA, point 8.5, Annex IIIA, point 10.7)

Nitrogen mineralization	Clethodim
	Up to 2.741 mg a.s./kg: effects <25%
	Select EC 240
	Up to 2.7 mg a.s./kg: effects <25% (1 soil)
	At 0.53 and 2.7 mg a.s./kg: effects >25% after 28 and 42
	days (= end of test) $(2^{nd} \text{ soil})$
	<b>Select + Para Sommer</b> (= oily adjuvant)
	Up to 1.7 mg a.s./kg: effects <25% (2 soils) <sup>(A)</sup> .
	Clethodim oxazole sulfone
	Up to 0.10 mg a.s./kg: effects <25%
Carbon mineralization	Clethodim
	Up to 2.741 mg a.s./kg: effects <25%
	Select EC 240
	Up to 2.7 mg a.s./kg: effects <25% (2 soils).
	<b>Select</b> + <b>Para Sommer</b> (= oily adjuvant)
	Up to 1.7 mg a.s./kg: effects <25% (2 soils).
	Clethodim oxazole sulfone
	Up to 0.10 mg a.s./kg: effects <25%
	(A) Study not suitable to avaluate affects of metabolites

(A) Study not suitable to evaluate effects of metabolites.

#### Effects on other non-target organisms (Annex IIA, point 8.6, Annex IIIA, point 10.8)

#### Collembola Clethodim oxazole sulfoxide: NOEC 100 mg a.s./kg soil (F. candida) (NOEC 50 mg a.s./kg soil corrected for organic content of OECD 207 substrate) Non-target terrestrial plants Screening data with Select 2 EC-H and Para Sommer Species treatment survival biomass production (kg a.s./ha) (kg a.s./ha) ER<sub>50</sub> NOEC $ER_{50}$ NOEC Oat 0.0040 0.016 0.024 0.099 post-emergence 0.0040 0.25 0.25 Corn post-emergence 0.0081 Onion post-emergence 0.76 >0.76 0.76 >0.76 Rape post-emergence 0.76 >0.76 0.063 >0.76Carrot post-emergence 0.76 >0.76 0.063 0.23 0.76 0.76 >0.76 Red clover post-emergence >0.76



Seedling emergence and vegetative vigour tests with active substance clethodim, metabolites and formulation Select

	Rate response for seedling emergence	Rate response for vegetative vigor (plant dry weight)
	EC <sub>50</sub> (g a.s./ha)	EC <sub>50</sub> (g a.s./ha)
Ryegrass (L. perenne)		clethodim: 6.7 g a.s./ha clethodim sulfoxide: 25 g a.s./ha clethodim sulfone: 23 g a.s./ha clethodim oxazole sulfone: >320 g a.s./ha
Cockspurr grass (E. crus-galli)		clethodim: 3.4 g a.s./ha clethodim sulfoxide: 16 g a.s./ha clethodim sulfone: 12 g a.s./ha clethodim oxazole sulfone: >320 g a.s./ha
Soybean ( <i>Glycine max</i> ) Lettuce ( <i>Lactuca sativa</i> )		
Carrot (Daucus carota) Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) Cucumber (Cucumis sativus) Cabbage (Brassica oleracea	Select: > 0.28 g a.s./ha (all species)	Select: > 0.28 g a.s./ha (all species)
Oat (Avena sativa)	Select: 54 g a.s./ha	Select: 20 g a.s./ha
Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne)	Select: 67 g a.s./ha	Select: 6.7 g a.s./ha
Corn (Zea mays)	Select: 25 g a.s./ha	Select: 13 g a.s./ha
Onion (Allium cepa)	Select: > 280 g a.s./ha	Select: > 280 g a.s./ha

 $\textbf{Effects on biological methods for sewage treatment} \hspace{0.2cm} \textbf{(Annex IIA, point 8.7)}$ 

Respiratory rate	clethodim	
	$EC_{50} > 95 \text{ mg/L}$	
	Select H EC24	
	EC <sub>50</sub> 162 mg a.s./L	

**Ecotoxicologically relevant compounds** (consider parent and all relevant metabolites requiring further assessment from the fate section)

Compartment	
soil	Parent
water	Parent
sediment	Parent
groundwater	Parent
air	Parent

Classification and proposed labelling (Annex IIA, point 10)

with regard to ecotoxicological data	No classification is proposed



## APPENDIX B – USED COMPOUND CODE(S)

Code/Trivial name	Chemical name*	Structural formula*
clethodim sulfoxide	2-{(EZ)-1-[(E)-3- chloroallyloxyimino]propyl}-5- [(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfinyl)propyl]-3- hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one or 2-[(1EZ)-N-{[(2E)-3-chloro-2- propen-1-yl]oxy}propanimidoyl]- 5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfinyl)propyl]- 3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one	H <sub>3</sub> C S OH OH CH
clethodim sulfone	2-{(EZ)-1-[(E)-3-chloroallyloxyimino]propyl}-5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfonyl)propyl]-3-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one or 2-[(1EZ)-N-{[(2E)-3-chloro-2-propen-1-yl]oxy}propanimidoyl]-5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfonyl)propyl]-3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one	H <sub>3</sub> C OH OH CH <sub>3</sub>
clethodim 5-OH sulfone	2-{(EZ)-1-[(E)-3-chloroallyloxyimino]propyl}-5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfonyl)propyl]-3,5-dihydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one or 2-[(1EZ)-N-{[(2E)-3-chloro-2-propen-1-yl]oxy}propanimidoyl]-5-[(2RS)2-(ethylsulfonyl)propyl]-3,5-dihydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one	H <sub>3</sub> C O CH <sub>3</sub> O CH <sub>3</sub>
clethodim imine	5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfanyl)propyl]- 3-hydroxy-2-(1-iminopropan-1- yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one or 5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfanyl)propyl]- 3-hydroxy-2-propanimidoyl-2- cyclohexen-1-one	H <sub>3</sub> C S OH NH OCH <sub>3</sub>
clethodim imine sulfoxide	5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfinyl)propyl]- 3-hydroxy-2-(1-iminopropan-1- yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one or 5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfinyl)propyl]- 3-hydroxy-2-propanimidoyl-2- cyclohexen-1-one	H <sub>3</sub> C OH OH CH <sub>3</sub>
clethodim imine sulfone	5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfonyl)propyl]- 3-hydroxy-2-(1-iminopropan-1- yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one or 5-[(2RS)-2-(ethylsulfonyl)propyl]- 3-hydroxy-2-propanimidoyl-2- cyclohexen-1-one	H <sub>3</sub> C O OH OH OH OH



Code/Trivial name	Chemical name*	Structural formula*
clethodim oxazole sulfoxide	2-ethyl-6-[(2RS)-2- (ethylsulfinyl)propyl]-6,7-dihydro- 1,3-benzoxazol-4(5 <i>H</i> )-one	$H_3C$ $CH_3$ $CH_3$ $CH_3$
clethodim oxazole sulfone	2-ethyl-6-[(2RS)-2- (ethylsulfonyl)propyl]-6,7- dihydro-1,3-benzoxazol-4(5H)-one	H <sub>3</sub> C O CH <sub>3</sub>
M15R	(2EZ)-3-[(2RS)-2- (ethylsulfinyl)propyl]pent-2- enedioic acid	H <sub>3</sub> C S CH <sub>3</sub> O OH
M17R	3-[(2RS)-2- (ethylsulfinyl)propyl]pentanedioic acid	O OH  H <sub>3</sub> C S  CH <sub>3</sub> O  OH
M18R	3-[(2RS)-2- (ethylsulfonyl)propyl]pentanedioic acid	OH O O O O O CH <sub>3</sub> OH
2-[3-chloroallyloxyimino] butanoic acid (CBA)	(2EZ)-2-({[(2E)-3-chloroprop-2-en-1-yl]oxy}imino)butanoic acid	CI CH <sub>3</sub>
trans-3-chloroacrylic acid (CAA)	(2E)-3-chloroprop-2-enoic acid	CIOH
S-methyl sulfoxide	2-[(1E)-N-{[(2E)-3-chloroprop-2-en-1-yl]oxy}propanimidoyl]-3-hydroxy-5-[2-(methylsulfinyl)ethyl]cyclohex-2-en-1-one	CI O N CH <sub>3</sub>

<sup>\*</sup> ACD/ChemSketch, Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc., ACD/Labs Release: 12.00 Product version: 12.00 (Build 29305, 25 Nov 2008).



#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

1/n slope of Freundlich isotherm

ε decadic molar extinction coefficient

°C degree Celsius (centigrade)

μg microgram

μm micrometer (micron)
 a.s. active substance
 AChE acetylcholinesterase
 ADE actual dermal exposure
 ADI acceptable daily intake
 AF assessment factor

AOEL acceptable operator exposure level

AP alkaline phosphatase AR applied radioactivity ARfD acute reference dose

AST aspartate aminotransferase (SGOT)

AV avoidance factor
BCF bioconcentration factor
BUN blood urea nitrogen
bw body weight

CAS Chemical Abstract Service
CFU colony forming units
ChE cholinesterase

CI confidence interval

CIPAC Collaborative International Pesticide Analytical Council Limited

CL confidence limits

d day

DAA days after application
DAR draft assessment report
DAT days after treatment

DM dry matter

 $DT_{50}$  period required for 50 percent disappearance (define method of estimation)  $DT_{90}$  period required for 90 percent disappearance (define method of estimation)

dw dry weight

EbC<sub>50</sub> effective concentration (biomass)

EC emulsifiable concentrate  $EC_{50}$  effective concentration ECHA European Chemical Agency EEC European Economic Community

EINECS European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances

ELINCS European List of New Chemical Substances

EMDI estimated maximum daily intake ER<sub>50</sub> emergence rate/effective rate, median ErC<sub>50</sub> effective concentration (growth rate)

EU European Union

EUROPOEM European Predictive Operator Exposure Model

f(twa) time weighted average factor

FAO Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations

FIR Food intake rate

FOB functional observation battery

FOCUS Forum for the Co-ordination of Pesticide Fate Models and their Use

g gram

GAP good agricultural practice GC gas chromatography



GC-FID gas chromatography with flame ionisation detector

GCPF Global Crop Protection Federation (formerly known as GIFAP)

GGT gamma glutamyl transferase

GM geometric mean GS growth stage **GSH** glutathion hour(s) h ha hectare haemoglobin Hb haematocrit Hct hectolitre hL

HPLC high pressure liquid chromatography

or high performance liquid chromatography

HPLC-MS high pressure liquid chromatography – mass spectrometry
HPLC-UV high pressure liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detector

HQ hazard quotient

IEDI international estimated daily intake
IESTI international estimated short-term intake
ISO International Organisation for Standardisation
IUPAC International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry

JMPR Joint Meeting on the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and

the Environment and the WHO Expert Group on Pesticide Residues (Joint

Meeting on Pesticide Residues)

K<sub>doc</sub> organic carbon linear adsorption coefficient

kg kilogram

K<sub>Foc</sub> Freundlich organic carbon adsorption coefficient

L litre

 $\begin{array}{cc} LC & liquid \ chromatography \\ LC_{50} & lethal \ concentration, \ median \end{array}$ 

LC-MS liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

LC-MS-MS liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry

LD<sub>50</sub> lethal dose, median; dosis letalis media

LDH lactate dehydrogenase

LOAEL lowest observable adverse effect level

LOD limit of detection

LOQ limit of quantification (determination)

m metre

M/L mixing and loading
MAF multiple application factor
MCH mean corpuscular haemoglobin

MCHC mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration

MCV mean corpuscular volume

mg milligram
mL millilitre
mm millimetre

MRL maximum residue limit or level

MS mass spectrometry
MSDS material safety data sheet
MTD maximum tolerated dose

MWHC maximum water holding capacity

n.a. not available

NESTI national estimated short-term intake

ng nanogram

NOAEC no observed adverse effect concentration

NOAEL no observed adverse effect level



NOEC no observed effect concentration

NOEL no observed effect level OM organic matter content

Pa Pascal

PD proportion of different food types
PEC predicted environmental concentration
PEC<sub>air</sub> predicted environmental concentration in air

 $\begin{array}{ll} PEC_{gw} & predicted \ environmental \ concentration \ in \ ground \ water \\ PEC_{sed} & predicted \ environmental \ concentration \ in \ sediment \\ PEC_{soil} & predicted \ environmental \ concentration \ in \ soil \end{array}$ 

PEC<sub>sw</sub> predicted environmental concentration in surface water

pH pH-value

PHED pesticide handler's exposure data

PHI pre-harvest interval

PIE potential inhalation exposure

pK<sub>a</sub> negative logarithm (to the base 10) of the dissociation constant

P<sub>ow</sub> partition coefficient between *n*-octanol and water

PPE personal protective equipment

ppm parts per million (10<sup>-6</sup>) ppp plant protection product

PT proportion of diet obtained in the treated area

PTT partial thromboplastin time

QSAR quantitative structure-activity relationship

r<sup>2</sup> coefficient of determination RPE respiratory protective equipment

RUD residue per unit dose
SC suspension concentrate
SD standard deviation
SFO single first-order

SSD species sensitivity distribution STMR supervised trials median residue  $t_{1/2}$  half-life (define method of estimation)

TER toxicity exposure ratio

TER<sub>A</sub> toxicity exposure ratio for acute exposure

TER<sub>LT</sub> toxicity exposure ratio following chronic exposure TER<sub>ST</sub> toxicity exposure ratio following repeated exposure

TK technical concentrate TLV threshold limit value

TMDI theoretical maximum daily intake

TRR total radioactive residue

TSH thyroid stimulating hormone (thyrotropin)

TWA time weighted average UDS unscheduled DNA synthesis

UV ultraviolet
W/S water/sediment
w/v weight per volume
w/w weight per weight
WBC white blood cell

WG water dispersible granule WHO World Health Organisation

wk week yr year